# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: IA

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSIST		. DATE SUBMITTED //15/2005	APPLICANT IDENTIFIER
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:	3	DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER
Application  Construction  Pre-application  Construction  Non-Construction	"'	. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION			
Legal Name:		Organizational Unit:	
lowa Department of Public Health  Address (give city, county, state and zip code)		Division of Health Promotion and Chronic Dis Name and telephone number of the person to be	
321 East 12th Street Lucas State Office Building Des Moines, IA 50158 County: USA		Name: Jane Borst Tel Number: 515-281-4911	s contacted on matters involving this
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN)	:	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate let	,
42 600457	1	A. State H. Independent School Distr B. County I. State Controlled Institution C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)	
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:		9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration	on. Maternal and Child Health Bureau
New Continuation Revision  If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es)  A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration Decrease Duration Other (specify):			
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSIST NUMBER:	ANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PR	OJECT:
9 3 9 9 4  TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Services Bloc  12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (cities, comstates, etc.): state			
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:	14. 0	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:	
Start Date: Ending Date: 09/30/2006			b. Project Title V Block Grant
		ON SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUT	
a. Federal \$ 6,737,839.00		EAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AV/ ≥ PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON	AILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE
b. N	O PROC	GRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372	
c. State \$ 5,164,902.00   d. Local \$ 0.00	OR P	ROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STAT	TE FOR REVIEW
e. Other \$ 1,701,976.00			
f. Program \$ 320,000.00			
Ια ΤΟΤΛΙ   I¢ 14 755 495 00   I		CANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT attach an explanation \( \bigcup \) No	
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE	THE GOVE	RNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE AI	
a. Typed Name of Authorized Representative Julie McMahon		b. Title Division Director	c. Telephone Number 515-281-3104
d. Signature of Authorized Representative		,	e. Date Signed

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM 2										
MCH BUDGET DETAILS FOR FY 2006										
[Secs. 504 (d) and 50										
STATE: IA										
FEDERAL ALLOCATION     (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424])     Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:		\$ 6,737,8	39							
A.Preventive and primary care for children:										
\$(32.15\%)										
B.Children with special health care needs:										
\$ 2,420,591 ( 35.93 %) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the application	on)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]									
C.Title V admininstrative costs:										
\$ 529,523 ( 7.86%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10% [Sec. 504(d)]										
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)		\$830,7	78							
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$5,164,9	02							
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$	0							
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$1,701,9	76							
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$ 320,0	00							
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 5,035,775		\$ 7,186,8	78							
<u> </u>	INEDELUD (CUDTOTAL)									
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PART (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	INERSHIP (SUBTUTAL)	\$ <u>14,755,4</u>	95							
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title	V program)									
a. SPRANS:	\$0									
b. SSDI:	\$ 100,000									
c. CISS:	\$0									
d. Abstinence Education:	\$ 318,198									
e. Healthy Start:	\$0									
f. EMSC:	\$0									
g. WIC:	\$ 0									
h. AIDS:	\$0									
i. CDC:	\$ 160,000									
j. Education:	\$ 165,913									
k. Other:										
ECCS grant	\$ 140,000									
EHDI - MCHB	\$ 129,805									
Family Planning	\$ 1,146,790									
Medical Home	\$									
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9)		\$ 2,438,4	83							
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		\$ 17,193,9	78							

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalance Row Name: Unobligated Balance

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:
Unobligated funds are distributed as follows:
Maternal Health \$332,311
Infant Health \$498,467

Section Number: Main Field Name: CDC Row Name: Other Federal Funds - CDC Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: CDC Newborn Hearing Screening Grant

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2006	
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$6,940,521	\$	\$6,760,133	\$0	\$6,737,839	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$ 640,318	\$1,116,348	\$ 796,731	\$0	\$ 830,778	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$5,685,629	\$4,764,860	\$5,679,015	\$0	\$5,164,902	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$2,108,368	\$ 1,765,235	\$1,702,628	\$0	\$1,701,976	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$	\$302,870	\$190,000	\$0	\$320,000	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 15,625,502	\$ 15,782,119	\$15,128,507	\$0	\$14,755,495	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$3,196,001	\$	\$	\$0	\$	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 18,821,503	\$ 18,739,761	\$ 18,030,678	\$0	\$17,193,978	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$	\$5,752,666	\$	\$6,081,511	\$	\$6,919,976	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$ 979,296	\$ 981,733	\$ 997,995	\$870,971	\$ 870,969	\$1,211,216	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$6,004,025	\$ 5,362,591	\$5,519,837	\$5,284,290	\$5,350,256	\$6,785,644	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$1,208,080	\$1,613,788	\$1,743,710	\$1,837,147	\$1,969,913	\$1,874,594	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$\$	\$315,954	\$\$	\$259,502	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 15,616,331	\$ 14,115,563	\$15,697,658	\$ 14,389,873	\$15,595,347	\$ 17,050,932	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$2,060,987	\$2,209,189	\$ 2,330,673	\$ 2,356,863	\$3,029,347	\$ 2,910,955	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$17,677,318	\$ 16,324,752	\$ 18,028,331	\$ 16,746,736	\$ 18,624,694	\$ 19,961,887	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The Children with Special Health Care Needs - Child Health Specialty Clinics experienced significant reduction of state funds and Medicaid match funds in FFY2003.

Services were maintained by shifting to federal Title V funds.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The increase in "Unobligated" funds expended in 2004 were actually 2003 funds spent in 2004.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

As state funds decreased, local match was needed to meet requirements. This is the first year, lowa claimed match provided through local maternal and child health

agencies.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

As state funds decreased, local match was needed to meet requirements. This is the second year, lowa claimed match provided through local maternal and child health

Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended Row Name: Local MCH Funds

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

As state funds decreased, local match was needed to meet requirements. This is the second year, lowa claimed match provided through local maternal and child health

agencies.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Due to a decrease in state appropriations less funds were available for claiming federal EPSDT funds.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The source of Program Income is CHSC direct services. Income from services was more than anticipated.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The other federal funds expended is an estimation of the actual funds spent.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2004			2005	FY 2006		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 2,256,899	\$ 2,337,806	\$ 2,481,551	\$0	\$ 2,458,686	\$0	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 495,412	\$311,185	\$ 296,908	\$0	\$ 297,057	\$0	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 5,992,846	\$ 6,382,010	\$ 6,528,088	\$0	\$ 6,496,444	\$0	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 6,456,580	\$ 6,314,083	\$5,307,937	\$0	\$4,973,785	\$0	
e. Others	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Administration	\$ 423,765	\$ 437,035	\$514,023	\$0	\$ 529,523	\$0	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$15,625,502	\$15,782,119_	\$15,128,507_	\$0	\$ <u>14,755,495</u>	\$0	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person re	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$ 317,512		\$ 317,512		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$ 50,000		\$ 50,000		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 325,003		\$ 325,003		\$ 318,198		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$127,600		\$ 127,600		\$160,000		
j. Education	\$ 166,908		\$ 165,913		\$ <u>165,913</u>		
k.Other				ı			
ECCS grant	\$0		\$ 100,000		\$ 140,000		
EHDI - MCHB	\$0		\$0		\$ 129,805		
Family Planning	\$ 1,074,830		\$ 1,052,537		\$1,146,790		
Medical Home	\$ 128,703		\$ 137,606		\$ 277,777		
AWARe grant	\$0		\$ 100,000		\$0		
Healthy & Ready Work	\$ 300,000		\$ 300,000		\$0		
Newborn CSCHN	\$0		\$ 126,000		\$0		
AWARe - WH Grant	\$ 100,000		\$0		\$0		
ECCS Grant	\$ 100,000		\$0		\$0		
Genetics	\$ 299,445		\$0		\$0		
Newborn CSHCN	\$ 106,000		\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 3,196,001		\$ 2,902,171		\$2,438,483		

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY	2001	FY	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	2 \$ 2,131,762	\$2,334,578	\$1,951,264	\$2,356,138	\$1,949,736	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$\$	\$ 546,797	\$\$412,472	\$592,804	\$416,870	\$518,040	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$6,819,266	5,704,003	\$6,804,954	\$5,206,508	\$6,660,524	\$	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$5,439,520	5,256,150	\$5,639,723	\$6,215,772	\$5,691,181	\$6,863,859	
e. Others	\$	0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Administration	\$\$	\$ 476,851	\$505,931	\$ 423,525	\$ 470,634	\$ 395,946	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 15,616,331	\$ 14,115,563	\$15,697,658	\$ 14,389,873	\$15,595,347	\$ 17,050,932	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	control of the person	responsible for admin	istration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$ 188,793	]	\$ 300,000	]	\$ 300,000		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000	1	\$ 100,000	j	\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$122,500	<b></b>	\$ 75,000	ĺ	\$ 100,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 424,000	Ī	\$ 424,908	j	\$ 741,512		
e. Healthy Start	\$0	1	\$0	]	\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$0		\$0	]	\$0		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0	]	\$0		
i. CDC	\$0	]	\$127,000	]	\$127,600		
j. Education	\$311,603		\$ 129,382	]	\$ 109,689		
k.Other	]	٦		1		1	
Family Planning	\$	_	\$ 684,637		\$1,026,644		
Genetics	\$0	_	\$		\$ 295,199		
Medical Home/CSHCN	\$0		\$0		\$ 128,703		
Newborn Hearing /CSHCN	\$0		\$0		\$ 100,000		
IDHS-HCCI	\$50,000	]	\$ 91,885	]	\$0		
Newborn Hearing	\$0		\$100,000	]	\$0		
Genetics-MCHB	\$56,791		\$0	]	\$0		
Newborn Hearing-MCHB	\$100,000		\$0	]	\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,060,987	]	\$ 2,330,673	]	\$ 3,029,347		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budget projections included spending approximately \$348,000 of unobligated funds. Due to staff vacancies and increased discretionary grants, expenditures from Title V funds were less than budget.

2. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budget amounts for Infant Health were under projected. It appears that there was an increase in the number of infants served. In addition, the new data system, CAReS, improved documentation of services delivered.

lowa's number of infants served by Title V and Infant Health budget does not include the Newborn Metabolic Screening Program. This program is adminsitered by the University of Iowa Hygienic lab. While state health agency's Center for Genetics provides oversight for surveillance and follow-up activities, neither budget nor expenses are reported by the Iowa Department of Public Health.

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Funds orginally budgeted for infant health were redirected to child health.

. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The Children with Special Health Care Needs program - Child Health Specialty Clinics has had a significant decrease in state funds and Medicaid match funds.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

Restrictions in state level activities implemented in response to a state budget crisis resulted in decreased expenditures for program administrative activities.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Service	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED EXPENDED		BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 3,881,685	\$	\$ 3,504,392	\$0	\$ 3,971,482	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$5,397,823	\$4,852,008	\$4,978,786	\$0	\$4,145,766	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$1,600,033	\$1,497,528	\$1,612,141	\$0	\$1,731,221	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$4,745,961	\$5,053,891	\$5,033,188	\$0	\$4,907,026	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$15,625,502	\$15,782,119	\$15,128,507	\$0	\$ <u>14,755,495</u>	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 8,862,531	\$	\$	\$ 6,893,489	\$	\$	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,836,194	\$2,983,666	\$3,105,775	\$3,679,900	\$5,412,772	\$	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$1,006,024	\$1,020,876	\$ 861,310	\$ 874,960	\$1,441,079	\$1,395,963	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$3,911,582	\$	\$3,979,595	\$	\$4,818,110	\$4,232,554	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$15,616,331	\$ <u>14,115,563</u>	\$15,697,658	\$14,389,874	\$15,595,347	\$17,050,932	

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

State funds budgeted for Child Health Specialty Clinic were not available due to state cuts. Direct care funds were claimed as match.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The Children with Special Health Care Needs program - Child Health Specialty Clinics had significant reduction of state funds and Medicaid match.

Section Number: Main Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

State funds budgeted for Child Health Specialty Clinic were not available due to state cuts. Enabling Services funds were claimed as match.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended

Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Due to staff vacancies and increased discretionary grants expenditures from Tittle V funds were less than budget.

	FORM 6										
NUMBER AND P	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED										
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)											
STATE: IA											
Total Births by Occurrence: 38,526 Reporting Year: 2004											
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	(D Needing Tre Received Tr	atment that					
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%					
Phenylketonuria	38,233	99.2	41	4	4	100					
Congenital Hypothyroidism	38,233	99.2	13	18	18	100					
Galactosemia	38,233	99.2	14	3	3	100					
Sickle Cell Disease	38,233	99.2	11	11	11	100					
Other Screening	(Specify)										
Biotinidase Deficiency	38,233	99.2	23	4	4	100					
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	38,233	99.2	6	3	3	100					
Screening Progra	ams for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.											

Disorders identified by the Iowa Neonatal Metabolic Screening Program are:

Phenylketonuria (PKÚ)

Galactosemia

Congenital Hypothroidism

Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) Medium chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase Deficiency (MCADD)

Sickle Cell Anemia Hemoglobin S, C, E Biotinidase Deficiency

Expanded Screening using Tandem Mass Spectrometry (MS/MS)

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

#### Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_OneScreenNo

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note: Sickle Cell Disease:

Disease - # of confirmed cases / needing treatment / percentage

Hemoglobin E - 1/1/100% Hemoglobin E/Barts - 2/2/100% Hemoglobin S/C - 1/1/100% Sickle Cell Anemia - 5/5/100%

Sicke Cell /B - Thalassemia - 1/1/100%

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia\_Presumptive

Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006 Field Note: Galactosemia

Presumed positive screens/confirmed cases

Borderline Galactosemia = 21 confirmed = 17 Duarte Galactosemic

21/17/17/ 100%

#### Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Presumptive Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006 Field Note:

There were 11 presumptive positive screens and 11 confirmed cases for Sickle Cell Disease.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital\_Confirmed Row Name: Congenital Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Five of the 18 were initially reported as borderline and later confirmed as cases needing treatment.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Confirmed Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

There were 11 presumptive positive screens and 11 confirmed cases for Sickle Cell Disease.

Section Number: Other Screening Types

Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Expanded Tandem Mass Spectrometry (MS/MS)

Biotinidase Deficiency
Disease - # of confirmed cases / needing treatment / received treatment/ percentage

MCADD - 13/4/4/ 100% VLCAD - 7/0/0/ 0% 3- MCC - 10/1/1/ 100%

### Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: IA

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE							
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %				
Pregnant Women	9,871	63.0	0.2	22.0	12.0	3.0				
Infants < 1 year old	20,752	90.7	0.0	2.1	7.2	0.0				
Children 1 to 22 years old	110,840	86.9	0.2	2.9	10.0	0.0				
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	4,474	34.0	0.0	48.0	3.0	15.0				
Others	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
TOTAL	145,937									
		•								

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

I. Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen\_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006

The decrease in the number of pregnant women served by Title V is due to the decreases in state funds. Data were obtained from the Women's Health Information System (WHIS).

2. Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen\_XIX Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

 Section Number: Main Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_TS Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS). The number of infants served by Title V DOES NOT include an accounting of newborns receiving metabolic screening services. Title V funds do not subsidize this program in Iowa. Third party payors support newborn metabolic screening.

4. Section Number: Main Field Name: Children\_1\_22\_TS Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS). The number of infants served by Title V DOES NOT include an accounting of newborns receiving metabolic screening services. Title V funds do not subsidize this program in Iowa. Third party payors support newborn metabolic screening.

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The "total served" is a lower quantity than in past years because individuals receiving care coordination services without an accompanying direct care service are not counted. The current program database does not count care coordination services and estimates are not felt to be valid. Program leadership will discuss how best to document the occurrence of care coordination services. The decrease in the number of children and youth with a special health care need served by Title V is due to the decrease in state funds.

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_XXI

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Children on Iowa's Title XXI Program are only known to CHSC by the insurance company which contracts with the Dept. of Human Services to serve Title XXI enrollees. Therefore, SCHIP status is not known.

7. Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_Unknown

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Unknown %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percentage with "unknown insurance status" is higher in this year's report because in past years, unknowns were mistakenly classified and counted as "other". This classification error has now been corrected.

8. Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers\_TS Row Name: Others

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

No other population groups are served by Title  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{V}}.$ 

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: IA

Reporting Year: 2004

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	37,701	34,841	1,440	239	971	7	0	203
Title V Served	9,871	8,018	638	111	136	22	0	946
Eligible for Title XIX	8,843	7,989	478	124	147	5	0	100
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	38,369	35,476	1,464	239	977	7	0	206
Title V Served	20,752	8,476	607	42	153	34	365	11,075
Eligible for Title XIX	8,843	7,989	478	124	147	5	0	100

#### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPA	ANIC OR LATING	(Sub-categorie	s by country or area o	f origin)
	( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	( B.1 ) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	34,770	2,805	112	0	0	0	0	0
Title V Served	9,871	1,938	57	1,494	2	10	119	307
Eligible for Title XIX	7,521	1,322	0	1,057	1	24	174	66
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	35,410	2,832	113	0	0	0	0	0
Title V Served	8,985	2,048	9,719	146	0	2	11	1,889
Eligible for Title XIX	7,521	1,322	0	1,057	1	24	174	66

The total number of deliveries served by Title V were obtained from the Women's Health Information System (WHIS).

The total number infants served by Title V were obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

The total numbers of deliveries and infants were obtained from the 2004 Vital Statistics provisional data.

Eligible for Medicaid numbers were taken from 2004 Medicaid data.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal\_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The number of infants served by Title V does not include an accounting of newborns receiving metabolic screening services. Title V funds do not subsidize this program in lowa. Third party payors support newborn metabolic screening.

2. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The 2004 Vital Statistics does not report the sub-categories for county or area of origin for Hispanic or Latino.

3. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV\_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Women's Health Information System (WHIS) allows clients to report multiple ethnicity categories.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino Year: 2006

Field Note:

2004 Medicaid breakouts of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity into subcategories were not available.

5. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The 2004 Vital Statistics data does not report the sub-categories for county or area of origin for Hispanic or Latino.

6. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_TotalNotHispanic Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

7. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

8. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_NotReported Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Ethnicity Not Reported

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

9. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_Mexican Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Mexican

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

10. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_Cuban Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Cuban Year: 2006

Field Note:

Data obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

11. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_PuertoRican

Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Puerto Rican

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

12. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_CentralAmerican Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Central and South American

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

**13. Section Number:** II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity **Field Name:** InfantsTitleV\_EthnicityOther

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Other and Unknown

Field Note:

Year: 2006

Data obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

14. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

2004 Medicaid data breakouts of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity into subcategories were not available.

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(8)] STATE: IA

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 369- 2229	(800) 369-2229	(800) 369-2229	(800) 369-2229	(800) 369-2229
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Iowa Healthy Families Line				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Margaret VanGinkel				
Contact Person's Telephone Number	(515) 331-8900	(515) 331-8900	(515) 331-8900	(515) 331-8900	(515) 331-8900
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		6,035	4,722	4,173

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: IA

FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
0	0	0	0	0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 9
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

#### **FORM 10** TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)] STATE: IA

#### 1. State MCH Administration:

The Iowa Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant Program is administered by the Bureau of Family Health, Division of Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention, Iowa Department of Public Health. The Bureau's responsibilities include: 1) conducting a statewide needs assessment; 2) developing policies, plans, and programs to improve the health of women, infants, children, adolescents and families; and 3) administering Family Planning programs. The Bureau is administratively responsible for coordinating Title V services for CYSHCN through a contract with the University of Iowa Department of Pediatrics, Child Health Specialty Clinics (CHSC).

RIOCK	Grant	Funas

2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 6,737,839
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 830,778
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 5,164,902
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 1,701,976
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 320,000
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 14,755,495
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 14,755,49

9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:

Local contract Maternal and Child Health Agencies
Regional Child Health Specialty Clinics
University of Iowa, University of Northern Iowa,
lowa State University

10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,
a. Pregnant Women	9,871
b. Infants < 1 year old	20,752
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	110,840
d. CSHCN	4,474
e. Others	0

11 Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:

#### a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Child Health -Twenty-five local child health agencies provide education on preventive well child services to all families newly enrolled in Title XIX and ongoing care coordination Child Health - I Werity-live local child realth agencies provide education of preventive well child services to an farmines newly enfolled in Title XIX and origining care coordinator for families not already served by managed care. Local agencies reach vulnerable populations and provide services regardless of funding source. In medically underserved areas of the state, agencies provide well child screening and preventive health services. Services are supported through collaborative efforts between the Dept of Human Serivces (DHS) (lowa's Medicaid agency) and state and local Title V program partnerships. Child health agencies provided care to more than 131,592 children in FFY04. Maternal Health - Local maternal health agencies across the state served 9,871 low-income pregnant women. The agencies are essential to increasing the number of women receiving prenatal care and promoting early entry into care. Wide ranges of health education and support services are available to low-income pregnant women. The agencies play a critical role in providing access to care. CYSHCN - CHSC Birth to Five Program services are located in each of CHSC's regional centers. The Birth to Five Program provides developmental screening, assessment, and follow-up for young children who are at-risk for developmental delay. Recommendations and family support are provided, as is care coordination, if needed. Advanced registered nurse practitioners with extensive expertise in the care and management of young children with special health care needs are the providers.

#### b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Newborn Hearing Screening Initiative - The Title V Block Grant helps Iowa establish a leadership role for the development and implementation of the newborn hearing screening program by establishing a quality system for Early Hearing Detection and Intervention in Iowa. Iowa secured two additional grants related to newborn hearing - one from CDC to support implementation of the newborn hearing screening surveillance and monitoring system (eSP); and one from HRSA to improve the follow-up services component of the overall early detection and intervention program. Healthy Child Mental Development (ABCD II project) - In November 2003, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP) approved the Iowa Department of Human Services' grant application for the Assuring Better Child Health and Development (ABCD II) initiative. Funded by the Commonwealth Foundation, this grant project is aimed at identifying and implementing policy and system changes to support the provision of preventive care to children 0 to 3 years by Medicaid providers. The project will identify models, test a standardized set of healthy mental development services, and identify financing mechanisms for Medicaid-eligible children 0 to 3 that can be applied statewide and tailored for individual communities. The project will build upon our existing state infrastructure and capitalize on recent efforts to create public-private health provider partnerships.

#### c. Infrastructure Building Services:

Databases for women's health (Women's Health Information System - "WHIS") and child health (Child and Adolescent Reporting System or "CAReS") generate electronic health records that provide critical information for informed decision-making. Local agencies collect information used to monitor needs. Statewide and community level data reports assist communities to assess local assets, needs, and services. The data systems are in their third year of operation. lowa's MCH and CYSHCN Title V Programs provide leadership for capacity-building and program development. The Bureau of Family Health and Child Health Specialty Clinics work together to convene partner agencies and design creative responses to emerging issues. Examples of new program and resource development include: 1) the Iowa Covering Kids and Families initiative (funded in part by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation); 2) the telehealth infrastructure project to improve access for Children's Mental Health (funded primarily by the Magellan Behavioral Health Corp.); 3) the lowa Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems (ECCS) project (funded by MCHB); 4) the Early Hearing Detection and Intervention projects (funded by CDC and HRSA); 5) the lowa Medical Home Initiative (funded by MCHB with a major contribution by Early ACCESS (IDEA, Part C); and 6) the Assuring Better Child Health and Development project (funded by the Commonwealth Fund).

Jeffrey	Name	Jane Borst	ame
Director - Child Health Specialty	Title	Bureau Chief	itle
100 Hawkins Drive, Rm 24	Address	321 East 12th Street	ddress
lov	City	Des Moines	ity
	State	IA	tate
	Zip	50319	ip
(319) 356	Phone	(515) 281-4911	hone
(319) 356	Fax	(515) 242-6384	ах
jeffrey-lobas@uiov	Email	jborst@idph.state.ia.us	mail
www.uihealthcare.cor	Web	www.idph.state.ia.us	/eb

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

### FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: IA

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	99.2	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.7
Annual Indicator	100.0	99.1	99.4	100.0	100.0
Numerator	38,170	37,412	37,598	47	43
Denominator	38,170	37,756	37,815	47	43
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	99.8	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9
Annual Indicator	Places fill in only th	a Objectives for the a	hove veers. Numerat	or Donominator and	Annual Indicators are
Numerator	not required for futu		bove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Allitual mulcators are
Denominator	,	,			

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual	Objective and Perform	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			40	59.2	59.9
Annual Indicator			58.6	58.6	58.6
Numerator			225	225	225
Denominator			384	384	384
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

			Annual Obj	jective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	60.6	;	61.3	62	65.1	68.4

Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03								
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, cor	mprehensive care	within a n	nedical hon	ne. (CSHC	N Survey)	
			nual Objective a	nd Perfor		<u>ta</u>	2024	
Amusal Parformance Objective	2000	2001	2002	50	2003	58.2	2004	59.
Annual Performance Objective								
Annual Indicator		·		57.1		57.1		57.
Numerator				413		413		41
Denominator	·			723		723		72
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
	2005	<u>Anı</u> 2006	nual Objective a 2007	nd Perfor	mance Date 2008	<u>ta</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			61.8	63	2000	66.2	2009	69.
Annual Indicator								
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi		r the above years	s. Numerat	or, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators
Denominator	not required for futi		ir the above years	s. Numerat	or, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	licators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the percent of th	not required for futu	ure year data.						
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for futu	ure year data.		urance to p	pay for the	services th		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for futu	ure year data.	and/or public ins	urance to p	pay for the	services th		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for future of the	ure year data.  dequate private  Ann  2001	and/or public ins nual Objective a 2002	urance to p	pay for the	services th	ney need. ((	CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)	not required for future and some families have an accordance 2000	ure year data.  dequate private  Ann  2001	and/or public ins nual Objective a 2002	urance to p	pay for the	services th	ney need. ((	CSHCN 63
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective	not required for futures ose families have an 2000	dequate private  Ani  2001	and/or public ins  nual Objective a  2002	urance to p	pay for the	services th	ney need. ((	63. 64.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who can be compared to the compared to the care needs age 0 to 18 who can be compared to the care needs age 0 to 18 who can be compared to the care needs age 0 to 18 who ca	not required for futures on the future of th	ure year data.  dequate private  Ani  2001	and/or public ins	urance to purance to p	pay for the	services that a 63.9 64.5	ney need. ((	63 64 46
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for futures ose families have an 2000	ure year data.  dequate private  Ani  2001	and/or public ins	urance to p nd Perfor 93 64.5 468	pay for the	services the tale of tale	ney need. ((	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who burvey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures ose families have an 2000	ure year data.  dequate private  Ani  2001	and/or public ins	urance to p nd Perfor 93 64.5 468	pay for the mance Date 2003	services the tale of tale	2004	63 64 46
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures ose families have an 2000	dequate private  Ann  2001	and/or public ins	urance to p nd Perfor 93 64.5 468 726	pay for the mance Date 2003 Final	services the tale of tale	2004	63 64 46
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who burvey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures ose families have an 2000	dequate private  Ann  2001	and/or public ins nual Objective a 2002	urance to p nd Perfor 93 64.5 468 726	pay for the mance Date 2003 Final	services the tale of tale	2004	63 64 46
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures on the second secon	dequate private  2001  Ani 2006	and/or public ins nual Objective a 2002	urance to p nd Perfor 93 64.5 468 726	pay for the mance Dat 2003  Final	services the tale of tale	2004 Final	63 64 46
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  2005  67.7	dequate private  Ann  2001  Ann  2006	and/or public ins nual Objective a 2002  nual Objective a 2007	urance to p nd Perfor 93 64.5 468 726 nd Perfor	pay for the  mance Dat  2003  Final  mance Dat  2008	services the servi	2004 Final	63 64 46 72

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					nizad ca th		them easi	
ercent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose urvey)	families report the t	community-baseu s	ervice systems	are orga	inzeu 30 tir	ey can use		ily. (USi
		Annu	al Objective ar	nd Perfor	mance Dat	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001	2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			_	67		79.4		8
Annual Indicator				77.8		77.8		77.
Numerator			_	301		301		30
Denominator			_	387		387		38
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
		<u>Annu</u>	al Objective ar	nd Perfor	mance Dat	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t	84. he Objectives for thure year data.	_	86 Numera	tor, Denom	87.7 inator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only t not required for ful	he Objectives for thure year data.	ne above years	. Numera		inator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only t not required for ful	he Objectives for thure year data.	ne above years	Numera	ılt life. (CSF	inator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only t not required for ful	he Objectives for thure year data.	ne above years	Numera	ılt life. (CSF	inator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only t not required for ful the services neces	the Objectives for the objective for the objecti	ition to all aspe	Numera	ılt life. (CSI	inator and	ey)	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	Please fill in only t not required for ful the services neces	the Objectives for the objective for the objecti	ition to all aspe	. Numera cts of adu	ılt life. (CSI	inator and	ey)	dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 he percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only t not required for ful the services neces	sary to make trans  2001	ition to all aspe	Numera  cts of adu  nd Perfor	ılt life. (CSI	HCN Surve	ey)	dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only t not required for ful the services neces	he Objectives for the contract of the contract	ition to all aspe	cts of adu	ılt life. (CSI	HCN Surve	ey)	5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only t not required for ful the services neces	he Objectives for the contract of the contract	ition to all aspe	cts of adu	ılt life. (CSI	HCN Surve	ey)	5. 31
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for ful the services neces	he Objectives for the contract of the contract	ition to all aspe	cts of adu	ult life. (CSF mance Dat 2003	HCN Surve	2004	5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for ful the services neces	he Objectives for the objectives for the objective for the objective sary to make trans  Annual 2001	ition to all aspe	10 5.8 310 5,351	ult life. (CSF mance Dat 2003 Final	5.8 310 5,351	2004	5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 he percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for ful the services neces	sary to make trans  2001  Annua 2006	ne above years ition to all aspe al Objective ar 2002	10 5.8 310 5,351	ult life. (CSF mance Dat 2003 Final	5.8 310 5,351	2004	5

ercent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ago aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.								
			Annual C	Objective and Per	ormance Da	<u>ata</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	85		90	9	<u> </u>	91		91
Annual Indicator	84.4		86.0	89.	<u> </u>	91.4		93.6
Numerator	17,446		15,173	6,78	6	6,222		5,968
Denominator	20,663		17,635	7,62	5	6,805		6,374
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			A	Notice at the second Des	D	-4-		
	2005	2006	<u>Annual C</u>	Objective and Per 2007	2008	<u>ata</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	94		4	95		95
Annual Performance Objective			94		4	95		9:
Annual Indicator	94			9			Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator	94			9			Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator	94			9			Annual Ind	99 dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator	94			9			Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	94			9			Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	94			9			Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator	94		ves for the a	9	rator, Denor	minator and	Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	94		ves for the a	gabove years. Nume	rator, Denor	minator and	Annual Inc	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	94 Please fill in only the not required for fute	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Nume	formance Day	minator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	94 Please fill in only the not required for fute	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Nume	formance Day	minator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000 21.7 17.2	ne Objectiv ure year da 2001	wes for the a ata.  Annual C	above years. Nume  Objective and Per  2002	formance Day 2003	minator and  ata		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000 21.7 17.2 1,136	ne Objectiv ure year da 2001	Annual C  21.6  16.4	2002	formance Day 2003 4	ata 16		1: 14.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000 21.7 17.2 1,136	ne Objectiv ure year da 2001	Annual C  21.6  16.4  1,049	Objective and Per 2002	formance Day 2003 4	ata 16 14.9 912		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000 21.7 17.2 1,136	ne Objectiv ure year da 2001	Annual C  21.6  16.4  1,049  64,011	Dbjective and Per 2002  16.  1,000 64,01	formance Day 2003 4 7 6 1 Final	16 14.9 912 61,361	2004	1! 14.6
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000 21.7 17.2 1,136	ne Objectiv ure year da 2001	Annual C  21.6  16.4  1,049  64,011	Objective and Per 2002  16.  1,00  64,01  Objective and Per Per 2002	formance Day Final Final	16 14.9 912 61,361	2004 Final	1: 14.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	94 Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000 21.7 17.2 1,136 66,000	2001	Annual C  21.6  16.4  1,049  64,011	Dbjective and Per 2002  16.  1,000 64,01	Formance Day Formance Day Final Formance Day 2008	16 14.9 912 61,361	2004	dicators

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09					
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one perr	manent molar tooth.			
			Objective and Perfor		2204
Amusel Berferman Objective	2000	<b>2001</b> 40	<b>2002</b> 41	<b>2003</b> 42	2004
Annual Performance Objective					
	41.4			40.0	43
Numerator				12,513	14,57
Denominator	35,818	35,204	33,653	31,283	33,58
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		A	Ol in stine and Banton	Data	
	2005	<u>Annual</u> 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		45		47	
Annual Indicator					
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for futu	ure year data.		tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for futu	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr			Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for futu	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr	ren.		Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	motor vehicle crashe	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr Annual	ren. Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective	motor vehicle crashe	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.7	ren.  Objective and Perfor 2002  3.5	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.7	ren.  Objective and Perfor  2002  3.5  4.1	mance Data 2003 3.4	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.7 3.4	ren.  Objective and Perfor 2002  3.5  4.1  23	2003 3.4 4.5	<b>2004</b> 3 6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	motor vehicle crashe  2000  5.8  3.5  19  550,000	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.7 3.4	ren.  Objective and Perfor 2002  3.5  4.1  23	2003 3.4 4.5	2004 3 6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crashe  2000  5.8  3.5  19  550,000	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.7 3.4	ren.  Objective and Perfor 2002  3.5  4.1  23	2003  3.4  4.5  26  572,000	2004 3 6 3 569,38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crashe  2000  5.8  3.5  19  550,000	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.7 3.4 19 564,225	ren.  Objective and Perfor  2002  3.5  4.1  23  564,224  Objective and Perfor	2003  3.4  4.5  26  572,000  Final	2004 3 6 569,38 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	motor vehicle crashe  2000  5.8  3.5  19  550,000	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.7 3.4 19 564,225 Annual 2006	ren.  Objective and Perfor  2002  3.5  4.1  23  564,224  Objective and Perfor  2007	3.4 2003 3.4 4.5 26 572,000 Final	2004 3 6 569,38 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	motor vehicle crashe  2000  5.8  3.5  19  550,000	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.7 3.4 19 564,225	ren.  Objective and Perfor  2002  3.5  4.1  23  564,224  Objective and Perfor  2007	2003  3.4  4.5  26  572,000  Final	2004 3 6 569,38 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Indicator  Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crashe  2000  5.8  3.5  19  550,000	2001  5.7  3.4  19  564,225  Annual  2006  5	ren.  Objective and Perfor  2002  3.5  4.1  23  564,224  Objective and Perfor  2007	mance Data 2003  3.4  4.5  26  572,000  Final  mance Data 2008  4.8	2004 3 6 569,38 Final

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
ercentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha	rge.	_			
	2000	<u>Annua</u> 2001	Il Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		2001		<b>2003</b> 61	2004
•		60.:			
	63.1			65.9	67.
Numerator	24,085			25,124	25,68
Denominator	38,170	37,44	37,558	38,139	38,30
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annua	al Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	68	68	3 68	69	6
Annual Indicator					
	Disease fill in audio 46	on Objectives for th	a abaya yaare Numara	tor Donominator and	Annual Indicators
	Disease fill in audio 46	ne Objectives for th	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.	e above years. Numera  Il Objective and Perfor  2002		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annua	al Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge.  2000	ure year data.  Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86	rmance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 reentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  75  62.8	Annua 2001 89.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4	rmance Data 2003 88	2004
Numerator Denominator  REFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 reentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  75  62.8  23,982	Annua 2001  8: 89.: 33,63:	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4 3 30,728	mance Data 2003 88 93.2	<b>2004</b> 98.
Numerator  Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  reentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	Annua 2001  8: 89.: 33,63:	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4 3 30,728	88 93.2 16,682	2004 98. 15,71
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	Annua 2001  8: 89.: 33,63:	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4 3 30,728	93.2 16,682 17,899	2004 98. 15,71 15,88
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge.  2000  75  62.8  23,982  38,170	Annua 2001 83 33,633 37,579	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4 3 30,728 9 37,749	7mance Data 2003  88  93.2  16,682  17,899  Final	2004 98. 15,71 15,89 Final
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 reentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for future to the not require for future for future to the not require for future fo	Annua 2001  8: 89.: 33,63: 37,57: Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4 3 30,728 9 37,749 1 Objective and Perfor 2007	7mance Data 2003 88 93.2 16,682 17,899 Final	2004 98. 15,71 15,89 Final
Reformance Measure # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Annua 2001  8: 89.3 33,63: 37,57	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4 3 30,728 9 37,749 1 Objective and Perfor 2007	7mance Data 2003  88  93.2  16,682  17,899  Final	2004 98. 15,71 15,89 Final
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Annua 2001  83  89.  33,63  37,579  Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4 3 30,728 9 37,749 1 Objective and Perfor 2007	7mance Data 2003 88 93.2 16,682 17,899 Final 7mance Data 2008	2004 98 15,71 15,89 Final
Reformance Measure # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Indicator  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the notion of	Annua 2001  83  89.  33,63  37,579  Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 5 86 5 81.4 3 30,728 9 37,749 1 Objective and Perfor 2007	7mance Data 2003 88 93.2 16,682 17,899 Final 7mance Data 2008	2004 98 15,7 15,8 Final

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13								
ercent of children without health insurance.								
			Annual C	bjective and Perfor		ta		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			6	5		4		;
Annual Indicator			6.2	4.7		8.6		6.0
Numerator	45,000		46,000	34,000		60,028		40,820
Denominator	736,000	74	47,000	723,000		698,000		680,43
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
		A	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	5		4	3		3		
Annual Indicator					_			
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives ure year data	for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual II	ndicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives ure year data	for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data Medicaid Prog	gram.	Dbjective and Perfor			Annual II	ndicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data Medicaid Prog	gram.	Objective and Perfor	mance Da			ndicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential o	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the N	ure year data Medicaid Prog 2001	gram.	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential who have received a second o	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 85 89.8	Medicaid Prog	gram. Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 92	<u>mance Da</u> 2003	<b>ta</b> 94		99.
Numerator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the pote	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 85 89.8 155,127	Medicaid Prog	gram. Annual C 90 94.7	Objective and Perfor 2002 92 97.1	mance <u>Da</u> 2003	94 98.7		9 99. 214,35
Numerator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the pote	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 85 89.8 155,127 172,815	Medicaid Prog	gram.  Annual C  90  94.7  73,131	92 97.1 180,433	mance <u>Da</u> 2003	94 98.7 195,915		9 99. 214,35
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential who have r	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 85 89.8 155,127 172,815	Medicaid Prog	90 94.7 73,131 82,821	92 97.1 180,433 185,888	mance Da 2003 Final	94 98.7 195,915 198,485	2004	9 99. 214,35
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential who have r	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 85 89.8 155,127 172,815	Medicaid Prog	90 94.7 73,131 82,821	92 92 97.1 180,433 185,888	Final	94 98.7 195,915 198,485	2004 Final	9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potential service of p	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 85 89.8 155,127 172,815	Medicaid Prog	90 94.7 73,131 82,821	92 97.1 180,433 185,888 Objective and Perfor	mance Da 2003 Final	94 98.7 195,915 198,485	2004	99. 214,35 214,99
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially denoted by the control of potential provided in the potenti	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for future for	Medicaid Prog	90 94.7 73,131 82,821	92 92 97.1 180,433 185,888	Final	94 98.7 195,915 198,485	2004 Final	9 99. 214,35
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a servent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a servent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a servent of potentially Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 85 89.8 155,127 172,815 2005 99.8	Medicaid Prog	90 94.7 73,131 82,821 Annual C	92 97.1 180,433 185,888 Objective and Perfor	Final 2008	94 98.7 195,915 198,485 ta	2004 Final 2009	99. 214,35 214,99

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.
Annual Indicator	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.
Numerato	491	437	444	443	50
Denominato	38,170	37,597	37,749	38,139	38,36
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1
Annual Indicato	•				
Numerato	Please fill in only the	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominato	not required for futi	ure year data.			
			Objective and Perfor		
e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throi	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	2000	<b>2001</b> 13.9	<b>2002</b> 13.8		1
ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thro	2000	13.9 14.1	2002 13.8 7.9	2003 13.7 8.9	10
ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among yo	2000 3 13.8 10.6 24	13.9 14.1 32	13.8 7.9	2003 13.7 8.9 19	10
ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among yo	2000 13.8 10.6	13.9 14.1	13.8 7.9	13.7 8.9 19 214,000	10
ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among yo	2000 3 13.8 10.6 24 226,000	13.9 14.1 32	13.8 7.9	2003 13.7 8.9 19	2004 100 2 211,98 Final
ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the common of the	2000 3 13.8 10.6 24 226,000	13.9 14.1 32 226,420	13.8 7.9	13.7 8.9 19 214,000 Final	10 2 211,98
ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 3 13.8 10.6 24 226,000	2001 13.9 14.1 32 226,420 Annual	2002 13.8 7.9 18 226,420 Objective and Perfor 2007	13.7 8.9 19 214,000 Final mance Data 2008	10 2 211,98 Final
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	2000 13.8 10.6 24 226,000 2005	13.9 14.1 32 226,420	2002 13.8 7.9 18 226,420 Objective and Perfor 2007	13.7 8.9 19 214,000 Final	10. 2 211,98 Final
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Indicator	2000 2 13.8 10.6 24 226,000 2005	2001  13.9  14.1  32  226,420  Annual  2006  10	2002 13.8 7.9 18 226,420 Objective and Perfor 2007	13.7 8.9 19 214,000 Final mance Data 2008 9.6	10 2 211,98 Final 2009

ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and n	eonates.						
			Annual C	Objective and Perf	ormance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	80.5		63	65		70		80
Annual Indicator	64.0		67.0	64.0		87.6		95.
Numerator	288		254	260		352		42
Denominator	450		379	406		402		44
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perf	ormance Da	t <u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	96		96	96		97		g
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Nume	rator, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Nume	ator, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only to not required for fut ginning in the first to	ure year d	ata.	Objective and Perf	ormance Da			dicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to	ure year d	ata.  Annual C	Objective and Perf	ormance Da 2003	<u>ta</u>	Annual Inc	
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 86.5	rimester.	Annual C	Objective and Perf 2002 87	ormance Da 2003	<u>ta</u>		88.
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Treent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 86.5	rimester.	Annual C 86.8 87.9	Dbjective and Perf 2002 87 88.1	ormance Da 2003	<b>ta</b> 88 88.6		88.
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000  86.5  87.1  33,251	rimester.	Annual C 86.8 87.9 33,064	2002 87 88.1 33,244	ormance Da 2003	88 88.6 33,809		88. 88. 34,02
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to 2000  86.5  87.1  33,251  38,170	rimester.	Annual C 86.8 87.9	Dbjective and Perf 2002 87 88.1	ormance Da 2003	<b>ta</b> 88 88.6	2004	88. 88. 34,02
Numerator  Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  Incent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to 2000  86.5  87.1  33,251  38,170	rimester.	Annual C 86.8 87.9 33,064	2002 87 88.1 33,244	ormance Da 2003	88 88.6 33,809		88. 88. 34,02
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to 2000  86.5  87.1  33,251  38,170	rimester.	86.8 87.9 33,064 37,597	2002  87  88.1  33,244  37,749  Objective and Perf	prmance Da 2003 Final	88 88.6 33,809 38,139	2004 Final	88. 88. 34,02
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 86.5 87.1 33,251 38,170	rimester.	86.8 87.9 33,064 37,597	2002 87 88.1 33,244 37,749	Final  prmance Da  2003	88 88.6 33,809 38,139	2004	88. 88. 34,02 38,36

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3					
Percent of children served by Title V, excluding CSHCN, who report a	medical home.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		70	75	55	6
	46.0	38.8	44.6	54.9	61.
Numerator	7,820	9,715	46,703	64,165	80,53
Denominator	17,000	25,067	104,632	116,807	131,78
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmanco Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	70	80	85	85	
Annual Indicator					
	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futu	ura vaar data	above , ca.c	101, 00	
		ure vear data.			
Denominator	not required for fate	ure year data.			
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4			entist.		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4		eted a referral to a de	entist. Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health center	ers who have comple	eted a referral to a de		mance Data 2003	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health cente  Annual Performance Objective	ers who have complee 2000	eted a referral to a de Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health cente  Annual Performance Objective	ers who have comple	eted a referral to a de Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health cente  Annual Performance Objective	2000 20 9.0	eted a referral to a de Annual ( 2001 21 3.0	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003	0.
ercent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health cente  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 20 9.0 605	eted a referral to a de Annual de 2001 21 3.0 518	2002 22 1.5 488	2003 23 4.5	0.
CTATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health cente  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 2000 20 9.0 605 6,725	eted a referral to a de Annual de 2001 21 3.0 518	2002 22 1.5 488	2003 23 4.5 1,571	2004 0. 35 39,06 Final
Percent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health center Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2000 20 9.0 605 6,725	eted a referral to a de Annual de 2001 21 3.0 518	2002 22 1.5 488	2003 23 4.5 1,571 35,033	0. 35 39,06
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health center  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 20 9.0 605 6,725	eted a referral to a de Annual de 2001  21  3.0  518  17,430	2002  22  1.5  488  31,553  Objective and Perform	2003  23  4.5  1,571  35,033  Final	0. 35 39,06 Final
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health center  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 20 9.0 605 6,725	2001  21  3.0  518  17,430  Annual of the An	2002  22  1.5  488  31,553  Objective and Perfore 2007	2003  23  4.5  1,571  35,033  Final  mance Data 2008	0. 35 39,06
Percent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health center Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20 9.0 605 6,725	eted a referral to a de Annual de 2001  21  3.0  518  17,430	2002  22  1.5  488  31,553  Objective and Perform	2003  23  4.5  1,571  35,033  Final	0. 35 39,06 Final
Annual Performance Objective  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator	2000 20 9.0 605 6,725	2001  21  3.0  518  17,430  Annual (	2002  22  1.5  488  31,553  Objective and Perfor 2007  6	2003  23  4.5  1,571  35,033  Final  mance Data 2008  6	0. 35 39,06 Final
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health cente  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 20 9.0 605 6,725 2005	2001  21  3.0  518  17,430  Annual (	2002  22  1.5  488  31,553  Objective and Perfore 2007	2003  23  4.5  1,571  35,033  Final  mance Data 2008  6	39,0 Final 2009

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8			-+ -f the de	tin-onto			f the beelf	th status of	
he degree to which key data are collected, managed, analyzed, and nildren, and families.	utilized for strategic	assessmen	nt or trie de	Terminance	เทน บบกร	equences o	if the nean	เท รเลเนร บเ	Women
			Annual O	bjective an	d Perfor	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	40		44		64		67		70
Annual Indicator			61		66		70		72
Numerator			61		66		70		72
Denominator	96		96		96		96		96
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual O	bjective an	d Perfor		<u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	73		74		75		76		
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the								
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year da	ta.	·			a.c. aa	7 11 11 10 11 11 11	ioators
FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11									
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11			ges 3-5).						
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11			ges 3-5).	bjective an				2004	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11	problems in young	children (aç	ges 3-5).	bjective an		mance Dat			
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11  recent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral	problems in young	children (ag	ges 3-5). Annual O	bjective an	d Perfor	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		6
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11  recent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	problems in young	children (ag	ges 3-5).  Annual O	bjective an	d Perfor	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		6 53.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11  Incrent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 9 56.6	children (ag	ges 3-5).  Annual O  10  63.6	bjective an	65 63.6	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 68 55.4		6 53.
FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11  Percent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	problems in young  2000  9  56.6  99	children (ag	ges 3-5).  Annual O  10  63.6	bjective an	65 63.6 63	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 68 55.4		6 53.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 recent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	problems in young  2000  9  56.6  99	children (ag	ges 3-5).  Annual O  10  63.6	bjective an	65 63.6 63	mance Dat 2003	<b>a</b> 68 55.4	2004	6 53.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 ercent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  9  56.6  99	children (aç	ges 3-5).  Annual O  10  63.6  63  99	bjective an	65 63.6 63 99	mance Dat 2003  Final	68 55.4 51 92	2004 Final	6 53.
CATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 recent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 9 56.6 56 99	children (ag	ges 3-5).  Annual O  10  63.6  63  99	bjective and 2002	65 63.6 63 99	mance Dat 2003 Final	68 55.4 51 92	2004	6 53.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 ercent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  9 56.6 56 99  2005	children (aç	ges 3-5).  Annual O  10  63.6  63  99	bjective an	65 63.6 63 99	mance Dat 2003  Final	68 55.4 51 92	2004 Final	6 53. 5
Annual Performance Objective  Annual Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  9 56.6 56 99  2005	2001 2006	ges 3-5).  Annual O  10  63.6  63  99  Annual O	bjective and 2002	65 63.6 63 99 d Perfor	mance Dat 2003  Final  mance Dat 2008	68 55.4 51 92 <b>a</b>	2004 Final	6 53. 5 9

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
ercent of infants determined to be at-risk receiving monitoring and fo	llow-up services at a	age 12 months.			
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		10	25	50	5
	4.4	5.3	6.9	7.4	9.
Numerator	195	240	310	323	42
Denominator	4,480	4,561	4,479	4,360	4,57
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	60.5	66.5	73.1		
Annual Indicator					
	Places fill in only th	o Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futu	ura vaar data	above years. Numera	ior, Denominator and	Allitual Illulcators
	not reduired for full				
Denominator		aro your data.			
Denominator		aro your data.			
Denominator		are your data.			
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14		aro your data.			
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14					
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14		Annual (	Objective and Perfor		2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 io of black-to-white preterm births.	2000	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	2002	2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 io of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective	2000 2.1	Annual 6 2001	<b>2002</b> 1.5	2003	1.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 io of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 2.1 2.4	Annual C 2001 1.8 2.3	1.5 1.1	2003 1.2 1.4	1.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 io of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 2.1 2.4 104.4	Annual C 2001 1.8 2.3 138.1	1.5 1.1 105	1.2 1.4 126	1.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 io of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2.1 2.4 104.4	Annual C 2001 1.8 2.3	1.5 1.1	1.2 1.4 126 92	1. 1. 10
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 io of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 2.1 2.4 104.4	Annual C 2001 1.8 2.3 138.1	1.5 1.1 105	1.2 1.4 126	1.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 tio of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2.1 2.4 104.4	Annual 6 2001  1.8  2.3  138.1  61.1	1.5 1.1 105 95.1	1.2 1.4 126 92 Final	1. 1. 10
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 tio of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2.1 2.4 104.4	Annual 6 2001  1.8  2.3  138.1  61.1	1.5 1.1 105	1.2 1.4 126 92 Final	1. 1. 10
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 tio of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2.1 2.4 104.4 44.1	Annual C 2001  1.8  2.3  138.1  61.1	2002  1.5  1.1  105  95.1  Dbjective and Perfor	1.2 1.4 126 92 Final	1. 1. 10 6 Final
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 tio of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.1 2.4 104.4 44.1 2005	Annual 6 2001  1.8 2.3 138.1 61.1  Annual 6 2006	2002  1.5  1.1  105  95.1  Dbjective and Perfor 2007	1.2 1.4 126 92 Final mance Data 2008	1. 10 6 Final 2009
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 atio of black-to-white preterm births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.1 2.4 104.4 44.1 2005	Annual (2001)  1.8 2.3 138.1 61.1  Annual (2006) 1	2002 1.5 1.1 105 95.1 Objective and Perfore 2007	1.2 1.4 126 92 Final mance Data 2008	Final <b>2009</b>

ercent of WIC clients, ages 2-5 years, that are overweight at or abor-	e the 95th percen	iic as aciiii	•					
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and Po	erformance I 2003	<u>Data</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			8.1		3.0	7.9	2004	
Annual Indicator			10.5		0.1	10.3		11.
	3,850		4,209		87	4,546		4.99
	40,536		40.086	43,5		44,137		45,38
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			40,080	43,0	Final	44,137	Final	45,50
			Annual C	Objective and Po	erformance I	<u>Data</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only	the Objecti	ves for the a		3.6	8.6 eminator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for for	the Objecti	ves for the a lata.	above years. Nur	nerator, Deno	minator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only not required for for financial states articipated in parer	the Objecti ture year d	ves for the a lata.	above years. Nur	nerator, Deno	minator and	Annual In	dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 Execut of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have per	Please fill in only not required for formatticipated in parer 2000	the Objecti uture year d ting educat	ves for the a lata. ion.	Objective and Po	erformance I	minator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 cent of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have particularly and the second of the s	Please fill in only not required for for articipated in parer 2000	the Objecti uture year d ting educat	ves for the a lata.  ion.  Annual C	Objective and Po	erformance I	minator and  Data	Annual In	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 In cent of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for for for fill articipated in parer 2000	the Objecti ture year d ting educat	ves for the a lata.  ion.  Annual C  20  15.2	Objective and Po	erformance I 2003	Data 15	Annual In	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 recent of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have particularly annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for for articipated in parer 2000 15.0	the Objecti iture year d ting educat	ves for the a lata.  ion.  Annual C  15.2  477	Objective and Po	erformance I 2003 20 4.2	Data  15  14.8  1,080	Annual In	14 1,26
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 recent of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have particularly annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for for formatticipated in parer 2000 15.0 9,180 61,197	the Objecti iture year d ting educat	ves for the a lata.  ion.  Annual C  20  15.2	Objective and Po	erformance I 2003 20 4.2 25	Data 15	Annual In	1 14 1,26
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 recent of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have particularly annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for for for formatticipated in parer 2000 15.0 9,180 61,197	the Objecti iture year d ting educat	ves for the a lata.  ion.  Annual C  15.2  477	Objective and Po	erformance I 2003 20 4.2	Data  15  14.8  1,080	Annual In	14 1,26
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 recent of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have particularly annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for for for formatticipated in parer 2000 15.0 9,180 61,197	the Objecti iture year d ting educat	ves for the a lata.  ion.  Annual C  15.2  477  3,142	Objective and Po	erformance I 2003 20 4.2 25 80 Final	Data  15  14.8  1,080  7,300	Annual In	1 14 1,26 8,74
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 recent of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have particularly annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for for for formatticipated in parer 2000 15.0 9,180 61,197	the Objecti iture year d ting educat	ves for the a lata.  ion.  Annual C  15.2  477  3,142	Objective and Po	erformance I 2003 20 4.2 25 80 Final	Data  15  14.8  1,080  7,300	Annual In	1 14 1,26

A proxy value is used for State Performance Measure #4The FFY04. Because the total number of children enrolled in Title V is not limited to those that are eligible to use Title V funds for dental care, this objective is difficult to measure accurately. This was taken into consideration when revising the SPM #4 target in FFY04.

## **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FFY02 data were obtained from the Neonatal Metabolic Screening Program.

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FFY02 data were obtained from the Neonatal Metabolic Screening Program. Total births is by occurrence.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FFY04 data were obtained from the Neonatal Metabolic Screening Program.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Section Number: Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. The projected target objectives decrease for two years based on assumptions of continuing state financial difficulties and consequent limitation of Medicaid benefits. Patient migration from Medicaid managed care to traditional Medicaid may help alleviate problems with inadequate coverage.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted.

Child Health Specialty Clinics is the recipient of an MCHB grant, "Adolescent Transitions: Health & Ready to Work." The project is developing a transition model in Black Hawk County for adolescents with special health care needs. Accessing health care and finding and maintaining employment are cornerstones of the model. Establishing medical homes for transitioning adolescents is also an important project goal. Selected specific activities are noted in Figure 4a. Unfortunately, the population-based "2000 lowa Child & Family Household Health Survey" did not include survey items specifically inquiring about transition needs and plans of adolescents with special health care needs. If the survey is repeated, such items could be added.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Clinic Assessment Software Application.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Immunization data are collected from January 2003 to December 2003 from public sector data.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Immunization data are collected from January 2004 to December 2004 from public sector data.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional data

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional data

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

For the fifth consecutive year, the lowa Department of Public Health completed a survey to determine the prevalence of dental sealants on permanent molars of third-grade children in lowa.

Cilidien in Iowa

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

For the sixth consecutive year, the Iowa Department of Public Health completed a survey to determine the prevalence of dental sealants on permanent molars of third-grade children in Iowa.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

For the seventh consecutive year, the lowa Department of Public Health completed a survey to determine the prevalence of dental sealants on permanent molars of third-grade children in lowa. See attachment in the State Narrative for survey methodology.

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional data

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 breastfeeding rates were obtained from the Iowa Metabolic Screening Profile.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 breastfeeding rates were obtained from the Iowa Metabolic Screening Profile.

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 breastfeeding rates were obtained from the Iowa Metabolic Screening Profile.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: IDPH conducts a survey with OB Hospitals requesting aggregate newborn hearing screening data. Sixty- nine hospitals surveyed responded, indicating 22,922 newborns, representing 85% of the total births, were screened for hearing loss prior to discharge. On January 1, 2004, all OB Hospitals have a mandatory requirement to report newborn hearing screening numbers to IDPH. IDPH is in the process of selecting a new database for newborn hearing screening. This will improve the accuracy for reporting.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

IDPH conducted a survey with OB Hospitals requesting aggregate newborn hearing screening data. Forty-nine of the 89 hospitals responded. The 48 hospitals had 17,837 total births. Of the 17,837 births, 16,642 were screened. This indicates a screening rate of 93 percent. On January 1, 2004, all OB Hospitals have a mandatory requirement to report newborn hearing screening numbers to IDPH. IDPH selected eScreenerPlus (eSP) as the software for web-based Early Hearing Detection and Intervention surveillance. Hospitals and audiologists will be able to use eSP to manage their screening programs and to report data to the State. eSP makes an integrated hearing record available to public health and medical professionals simultaneously.

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Mandatory universal screening was implemented January 1, 2004. Provisional data are reported from the newly implemented eSP data base which is currently being implemented. Forty-one percent (41%) of the initial screens have been entered into the system. The data include complete reporting from all 3 Level III Perinatal Centers; 3 out of 7 Level II Regional Centers; 4 of 12 Level II Centers, and 4 of 67 Level I Centers. Accordingly, hospitals serving high risk infants are over represented in the sample for the provisional data and Level I centers are under represented.

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FFY2002 data were obtained from the current population survey from the U.S. Census Bureau.

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FFY2003 data were obtained from the current population survey from the U.S. Census Bureau.

39. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

As of early July 2005, data from the Current Population Survey for 2004 was not available. The number provided is a three year average uninsured rate. hawk-i and Medicaid enrollment is at an all time high with outreach activities through hawk-i outreach and Covering Kids and Families demonstrating success for enrolling children. We recognize this population still needs to be reached and continue to identify strategies to decrease uninsured rates.

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Annual EPSDT Report from the Medicaid HICFA 416 report.

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Annual EPSDT Report from the Medicaid CMS 416 report.

42. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the 2004 Annual EPSDT Report from the Medicaid CMS 416 report.

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statisitics 2002 Provisional Data

44. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional data

45. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

46. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

47. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional data

48. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

49. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

50. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 data. The increase in percentage is due to the increase in the number of hospitals being classified as Regional 2 level hospitals.

51. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 data. The change in percentage is due to increases in the number of hospitals being classified as Regional 2 level hospitals.

52. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

53. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional data

54. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS) year end report. The data are based on clients who reported a usual source of medical care that was available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and maintains the client's record.

FFY02 data were obtained from the annual CAReS medical home report covering the entire fiscal year. FFY01 data were obtained from a proxy value from the second quarter medical home report covering January - March 2001.

56. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Data were obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS) year end report. The data are based on clients who reported a usual source of medical care that was available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and maintains the client's record.

FFY03 data were obtained from the annual CAReS medical home report covering the entire fiscal year.

## 57. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS) year end report. The data are based on clients who reported a usual source of medical care that was available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and maintains the client's record.

FFY04 data were obtained from the annual CAReS medical home report covering the entire fiscal year.

## 58. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

A proxy value was obtained from the local child health contract agencies' quarterly reports to the Oral Health Bureau.

## 59. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS) 2003 year end report.

## 60. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A proxy value was used to measure SPM #4. The numerator is based on the number of children ages 1-4 using Title V dental funding for dental care as determined through quarterly dental reports. The denominator is the total number of children ages 1-4 enrolled in Title V as determined through the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS).

Because the total number of children enrolled in Title V is not limited to those eligible to use Title V funds for dental care, this objective is difficult to measure accurately. This difficulty was taken into consideration as the target was revised in FFY04.

## 61. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The data were obtained from a checklist of components that documents data system development. The checklist indicates the degree to which lowa's Title V data systems have been developed.

## 62. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data were obtained from a checklist of components that documents data system development. The checklist indicates the degree to which lowa's Title V data systems have been developed.

## 63. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data were obtained from a checklist of components that documents data system development. The checklist indicates the degree to which lowa's Title V data systems have been developed.

## 64. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

A survey was conducted with local public health nurses to identify the number of screenings and referrals for children ages 3-5 at the county level. The survey is repeated every year.

## 65. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

A survey was conducted with local public health nurses to identify the number of screenings and referrals for children ages 3-5 at the county level. There were 92 out of the 99 counties that replied to the survey. Fifty-one out of 92 are providing screenings and referrals for children ages 3-5.

## 66. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004

### Field Note:

A survey was conducted with local public health nurses to identify the number of screenings and referrals for children ages 3-5 at the county level. There were 97 out of the 99 counties that replied to the survey. Fifty-four out of 97 are providing screenings and referrals for children ages 3-5.

67. Section Number: State Performance Measure #13

Field Name: SM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives for 2004-2007 were changed based on improved understanding of the systemic complexities related to fulfilling Part C's role as a statewide resource and database for at-risk young children. The current method to determine the denominator for this performance measure may change pending a review of the agreed upon incidence rate of infants assumed to be at-risk for future developmental delay. The review will occur at the end of ffy03 and will influence the method for calculating the ffy03 annual indicator value.

68. Section Number: State Performance Measure #13

Field Name: SM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Because the Part C database only tracks developmentally delayed infants and not at-risk infants, the indicator value for this performance measure remains substantially lower than the target objective. The Iowa Title V Programs support improved data capacity to track at-risk infants. A new performance measure and/or new data source will be chosen should the related state priority need be reconfirmed by the upcoming 5-year needs assessment.

69. Section Number: State Performance Measure #13

Field Name: SM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Because the Part C database only tracks developmentally delayed infants and not at-risk infants, the indicator value for this performance measure remains substantially lower than the target objective. The Iowa Title V Programs support improved data capacity to track at-risk infants. Ideally, a centralized statewide at-risk database will be created, however, much discussion, planning, and organization must occur before this becomes reality.

70. Section Number: State Performance Measure #14

Field Name: SM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data Numerator - Rate of Black preterm births Denominator - Rate of White preterm births

Preterm - less than 37 week gestation.

71. Section Number: State Performance Measure #14

Field Name: SM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional Data Numerator - Rate of Black preterm births Denominator - Rate of White preterm births

Preterm - less than 37 week gestation.

72. Section Number: State Performance Measure #14

Field Name: SM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data Numerator - Rate of Black preterm births Denominator - Rate of White preterm births

Preterm - less than 37 week gestation.

73. Section Number: State Performance Measure #15

Field Name: SM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS).

74. Section Number: State Performance Measure #15

Field Name: SM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS).

75. Section Number: State Performance Measure #15

Field Name: SM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS).

**76. Section Number:** State Performance Measure #16

Field Name: SM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the WIC parent education survey. A standard definition of parent education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and clients.

Only infants receiving their one year WIC visit were reported for the 2002 data. 2001 data reported infants receiving their one year and eighteen month visit.

77. Section Number: State Performance Measure #16

Field Name: SM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data were obtained from the WIC parent education survey. A standard definition of parent education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and clients.

Only infants receiving their one year WIC visit were reported for the 2003 data.

78. Section Number: State Performance Measure #16

Field Name: SM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004

Field Note:
Data were obtained from the WIC parent education survey. A standard definition of parent education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education to the parent education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with WIC staff and education has been developed by BFH staff and shared with the wide of the

Only infants receiving their one year WIC visit were reported for the 2004 data.

# FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: IA

ne infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.						
			I Objective and Perf			
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	7		7 (	<u> </u>	6	5
Annual Indicator	6.2	5.	5.2		5.7	5
Numerator	238	210	198	3	216	1
Denominator	38,170	37,59	37,749	38,	39	38,3
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perf	ormance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	5.8	5.	7 5.6	6	5.5	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for th	e above years. Nume	rator, Denominator	and Annual	Indicator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for th	e above years. Nume	rator, Denominator	and Annual	Indicator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Nume		and Annual	Indicator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for th ure year data.			and Annual	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut rate.	ne Objectives for thure year data.  Annua 2001	Il Objective and Perf	ormance Data 2003		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.	ne Objectives for thure year data.  Annua 2001	al Objective and Perf 2002 3 2.8	ormance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  DETCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.	Annua 2001	Il Objective and Perf 2002 3 2.8	ormance Data 2003 3	<b>2004</b> 2.5	;
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  DETCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.  2000 2.3 3.5 20.5	Annua 2001	1 Objective and Perf 2002 3 2.8 1 2.6	ormance Data 2003 3 6	<b>2004</b> 2.5 3.8	2
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.  2000 2.3 3.5 20.5	Annua 2001 2.	1 Objective and Perf 2002 3 2.8 1 2.6	ormance Data 2003 3 6	<b>2004</b> 2.5 3.8 9.6	2 2 9
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  DENOME MEASURE # 02  e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.  2000 2.3 3.5 20.5	Annua 2001  2. 11.	1 Objective and Perf 2002 3 2.8 1 2.6 1 13.4 4 5.7	ormance Data 2003 3 4 1	2004 2.5 3.8 9.6 5.2	2 2 9
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  DETCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.  2000 2.3 3.5 20.5	Annua 2001  2. 11.	1 Objective and Perf 2002 3 2.8 1 2.6	ormance Data 2003 3 4 1	2004 2.5 3.8 9.6 5.2	2 2 2 5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.  2000 2.3 3.5 20.5 5.9	Annua 2001  2.  11.  5.  Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perf 2002 3 2.8 1 2.6 1 13.4 4 5.4	ormance Data 2003  3 5 1 Final  ormance Data 2008	2004 2.5 3.8 9.6 5.2	2 2 9 4

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			al Objective and Perfo		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	4.5		3.4	3.3	3.
Annual Indicator	4.1	3	3.5	3.5	3.
Numerator	156	1	28 133	135	12
Denominator	38,170	37,5	97 37,749	38,139	38,36
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Final	Final
	2205		al Objective and Perfo		0000
Amount Bonforman Objection	2005	2006	<b>2007</b> 3.2 3.1	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective			3.2	3.1	3.
Annual Indicator	,				
	Diaman fill in and the	ne Objectives for	he above years. Numera	ator. Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for t ure year data.	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for ture year data.	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for ture year data.	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.			d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futured.	ure year data. Annu	al Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.	ual Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future.  2000 1.8	ure year data. Annu 2001	ual Objective and Perfo 2002 2 2	rmance Data 2003 1.9	<b>2004</b>
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future.  2000  1.8  2.1	Annu 2001	2 2 2 2 2 2.2 1.7	rmance Data 2003 1.9 2.1	2004 1.
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 201 202 202 203 203 204 205 206 206 207 207 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208	Annu 2001	2002 2 2 2 2.2 1.7 82 65	rmance Data 2003 1.9	2004 1. 1.
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 201 202 202 203 203 204 205 206 206 207 207 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208	Annu 2001	2002 2 2 2 2.2 1.7 82 65	rmance Data 2003 1.9 2.1	2004 1.
Numerator  Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000  1.8  2.1  82  38,170	Annu 2001	2002 2 2 2 2.2 1.7 82 65	rmance Data 2003  1.9  2.1  81	2004 1. 1.
Numerator  Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  1.8  2.1  82  38,170	Annu 2001 237,5	2 2 2 2.2 1.7 82 65 97 37,749	rmance Data 2003  1.9  2.1  81  38,139  Final	2004 1. 1. 7 38,36
Numerator  Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	Annu 2001 37,5	2 2 2 2 2 2 2.2 1.7 82 65 97 37,749	rmance Data 2003  1.9  2.1  81  38,139  Final	2004 1. 1. 7 38,36 Final
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	2001 Annu 2006	2002 2 2 2.2 1.7 82 65 97 37,749  alal Objective and Perfo 2007	7mance Data 2003  1.9  2.1  81  38,139  Final  7mance Data 2008	2004 1. 1. 7 38,36 Final
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  2000	2001 Annu 2006	2 2 2 2 2 2 2.2 1.7 82 65 97 37,749	7mance Data 2003  1.9  2.1  81  38,139  Final  7mance Data 2008	2004 1. 1. 7 38,36 Final
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2005 2005	2001 2006 Annu 2006	2002 2 2 2.2 1.7 82 65 97 37,749  alal Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2003  1.9  2.1  81  38,139  Final  rmance Data 2008  1.6	2004 1. 1. 7 38,36 Final 2009

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
he perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		10.1	10.1	10	1
Annual Indicator	9.1	9.2	8.4	8.8	8.
Numerator	347	347	318	334	33
Denominator	38,170	37,597	37,749	38,139	38,36
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	8.5	8.5	8.3	8.3	
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
JTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
TCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
TCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for future	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
PTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	not required for future	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 21
TCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 24.6	Annual ( 2001 24.4	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 21.8	2004 21 20
TCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 24.6 21.5	Annual ( 2001 24.4 22.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 22 19.1 108	2003 21.8 22.1	2004 21. 20.
PTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 24.6 21.5 118 550,000	Annual ( 2001 24.4 22.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 22 19.1 108	2003 21.8 22.1 116	2004 21. 20.
Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 24.6 21.5 118 550,000	Annual (2001 24.4 22.0 124 564,225	Objective and Perfor 2002 22 19.1 108	2003 21.8 22.1 116 526,000 Final	2004 21. 20. 10 532,56
Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 24.6 21.5 118 550,000	Annual (2001 24.4 22.0 124 564,225	Objective and Perfor 2002 22 19.1 108 564,225	2003 21.8 22.1 116 526,000 Final	2004 21. 20. 10 532,56
Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 24.6 21.5 118 550,000	Annual ( 2001  24.4  22.0  124  564,225	Objective and Perfor 2002  22  19.1  108  564,225  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 21.8 22.1 116 526,000 Final	2004 21. 20. 10 532,56 Final
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  24.6  21.5  118  550,000  2005	Annual (2001 24.4 22.0 124 564,225 Annual (2006 21	Objective and Perfor 2002  22  19.1  108  564,225  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  21.8  22.1  116  526,000  Final  mance Data 2008	2004 21. 20. 10 532,56 Final

None

## FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional Data

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional Data.

The projected annual performance objectives were changed for 2004-20008 based on a five year average of data.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

7. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

8. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional Data

9. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

10. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

11. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional Data

12. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

13. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

14. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional Data

**15. Section Number:** Outcome Measure 5 **Field Name:** OM05

Field Name: ON Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

16. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2002 Provisional Data

17. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Statistics 2003 Provisional Data

**18. Section Number:** Outcome Measure 6 Field Name: OM06

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data were obtained from Vital Statistics 2004 Provisional Data.

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: IA 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 2. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1. Total Score: 13. Rating Key 0. = Not Met 1. = Partially Met 1. = Partially Met 1. = Partially Met 1. = Partially Met

3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: IA FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Improve the quality of family support and parenting education programs and services
- 2. Assure children enrolled in early care and education programs are in quality environments.
- 3. Assure developmental evaluations are provided to Medicaid enrolled children 0-3 years.
- 4. Assure access to pediatric specialty care for all children.
- 5. Minimize developmental delay through early intervention services for children 0-3 years.
- 6. All children and adolescents should be physically active for at least 30 minutes, limit screen time to no more than two hours, and eat five or more servings of fruits and vegetables each day.
- 7. Improve the quality of primary care for children in Iowa.
- 8. Assure access to oral health care for children in lowa.
- 9. Reduce infant mortality.
- 10. Assure pregnant and parenting women are screened and referred to appropriate mental health services.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: IA APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Analysis of Iowa's Child and Family Household Health Survey Early Childhood questions	lowa will be using data from the Child and Family Household Health Survey Early Childhood section to help measure early childhood system building activities	Dr. Pete Damiano - University of Iowa Public Policy Center
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Advancing core public health functions in public health.	System capacity building for maternal and child health infrastructure.	Plenary speaker at the 2006 Spring Public Health Conference.
3.	Other  If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Critique of CHSC's various data, assessment, and evaluation methods and analyses to enhance both internal program operations and the impact of external system-building initiatives.	CHSC desires to maximize the effectiveness of its resources and activities on program operations and system development.	MCHB's Center for Evidence-Based Practice (Perrin, Newacheck, et al)
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: IA

SP# 3

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of children served by Title V, excluding CSHCN, who report a medical home.

STATUS: Ac

GOAL To assure continuity of health care and related services for children ages 0-21.

**DEFINITION** Client has a usual source of medical care that is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and maintains the client's

record.

**Numerator:** 

The number of Title V enrolled children with a reported medical home.

Denominator:

The total number of Title V children in the Child and Adolescent Reporting System.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 1-5 Usual Primary Care Provider

Increase the proportion of persons with a usual primary care provider.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The data will be obtained from the Child and Adolescent Reporting System (CAReS). Clients who reported having a usual

source of medical care that was available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and maintain the client's record were considered to have a medical home. Selected criteria from the American Academy of Pediatrics' definition have been chosen for determination of medical home. Children with special health care needs are excluded from this performance

measure because medical homes for CSHCN are measured in NPM #3.

SIGNIFICANCE Infants, children, and youth should have preventive and acute or emergency care available continuously and without

interruption. The medical care should be coordinated with other health, social, or family support services. Care should be accessible, family centered, continuous, comprehensive, coordinated, compassionate, and culturally appropriate. Title V agencies have the opportunity to secure a medical home for every child served in a child health center and to provide outreach to families and children not participating in health care services. Title V providers as community based agencies are well positioned to work with other public and private entities informing families of availability of medical home services

and assisting families in application for various payment plans (Title XXI, Title XIX, private insurance, or Title V).

GOAL

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of low income children ages 1-4, enrolled in child health centers who have completed a referral to a dentist.

STATUS: A

To assure low income children access to dental health services (preventive and restorative care).

**DEFINITION**Children who are enrolled in a Title V Child Health Agencies who have completed a referral to a dentist.

Numerator

Number of children MCH eligible ages 1-4 referred from child health centers who complete a dental referral.

Denominator:

Number of children enrolled in child health centers, MCH eligible ages 1-4.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 21-21 Dental Services for Low-Income Children.

Increase the proportion of children and adolescents under age 19 years at or below 200 percent of the Federal Poverty level

who received any preventive dental services during the past year.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Data from child health clinics

Access and availability of dental health services for children were rated within the top four priorities of the 2000 lowa Department of Public Health, Maternal, and Child Health Needs Assessment. Dental access to low income families is limited within lowa due to a number of barriers including: lack of financial resources, lack of pediatric dentists trained to treat very young children with early childhood caries, shortage of dentists participating in the Medicaid program due to low

reimbursement rates, issues of patient compliance (e.g., frequency of broken appointments), and perceived bureaucratic

barriers associated with participating in the program (e.g., increased paperwork, slow payments).

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The degree to which key data are collected, managed, analyzed, and utilized for strategic assessment of the determinants

and consequences of the health status of women, children, and families.

STATUS:

AIUS.

GOAL To identify priority needs and assess progress in meeting those needs for current and new target populations.

**DEFINITION** Attached is a checklist of components that document data system development. The checklist indicates the degree to which

the Iowa Title V data system has been developed.

Numerator:

NA

Denominator:

NA

Units: 96 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Survey of Title V and other relevant organizations' data system components and utilization. Resources for developing

electronic data system for program data that meet the needs of local service providers are not readily available.

SIGNIFICANCE Elements needed to build a supportive data capacity exist within the state's Title V program. Currently, vital records are a key source of information for needs assessment and most performance monitoring, but utilization is less than optimal.

Efforts to link vital records and program data have the potential to enhance surveillance and diagnose emerging issues.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of counties that report screenings and referrals for behavioral problems in young children (ages 3-5).

STATUS: Activ

GOAL To improve the likelihood that children who are in need of mental health or behavioral services receive those services from

a provider with documented specialty skills in child mental/behavioral health.

**DEFINITION**A survey is conducted with the Public Health Nurses to determine the number of screenings and referrals for three to five

year old children.

Numerator:

Number of counties reporting screenings and referrals.

Denominator:

Number of counties in Iowa.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 18-7 Treatment for children with mental health problems.

Increase the proportion of children with mental health problems who received treatment.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

A survey will be conducted with the counties to assess access to screening and referral services for children ages 3-5 with

behavioral problems.

SIGNIFICANCE

Mental health problems in children have gained increasing attention and priority in the national state public health systems over the last two decades. Using state and local collaborative relationships, lowa's Title V program has the opportunity to

foster the development of an integrated and comprehensive mental health services system are screening and referral

activities.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of infants determined to be at-risk receiving monitoring and follow-up services at age 12 months.

STATUS:

To increase participation of at-risk infants in programs that provide effective monitoring and follow-up for the at-risk GOAL

population.

**DEFINITION** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Numerator:

The number of Iowa live infants in a calendar year birth cohort who have been identified as being at-risk and who are

enrolled in the Iowa Early ACCESS Program (IDEA Part C) by 12 months of age.

The number of live lowa infants in the same calendar year birth cohort who have been identified as being at-risk. (This

number initially may be estimated as 12% of the number of live births in a calendar year birth cohort.)

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Use vital statistics, program data sharing agreements, and at-risk incidence rate estimates to determine denominator. Use

lowa Early ACCESS enrollment data to determine the numerator.

Selected physiological and psychosocial circumstances are associated with adverse developmental sequelae. Follow-up and monitoring programs are designed to detect developmental problems as early as possible and refer to early intervention services. In lowa, the Early ACCESS Program is responsible for enrolling all infants in the state who are identified as being at-risk. Early ACCESS will function as a single statewide registry for air-risk infants and will be effective in obtaining early intervention services for infants who show developmental delay during follow-up and monitoring.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Ratio of black-to-white preterm births.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the ratio of black-to-white preterm births to no more than 1.0 (indicating no disparity.)

**DEFINITION** Preterm birth is defined as live birth at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Numerator: Rate of black preterm live births annually (rate per 1000)

Denominator:

Rate of white preterm live births annually (rate of 1000)

Units: 1 Text: Ratio

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Reduce preterm births.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Iowa Vital Records, Maternal Health Database

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

In lowa, the black infant preterm birth rate is more than two times the white rate. In calendar year 1998, the black infant In low, the black thanh preterm birth rate is more than two times the white rate. In calendar year 1998, the black thanh preterm birth rate was 131.4 per 1,000 competed with the white rate of 62 per 1,000. Among the leading causes of death in infants, the racial and ethnic disparity is greatest in disorders related to preterm birth, including respiratory disease, infections, and nutritional deficits. Women in low socioeconomic status and girls under the age of 18 statistically have poorer birth outcomes than other women. Enhanced services increase the likelihood of better birth outcomes by providing care

coordination services.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of WIC clients, ages 2-5 years, that are overweight at or above the 95th percentile as defined by PedNSS.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Improve the fitness of Iowa children

**DEFINITION** PedNSS data classifies overweight as 95th percentile based on weight and height.

**Numerator:**Number of children aged 2-5 years of get on the WIC program who meet the definition of obesity.

Denominator:

Number of children ages 2-5 years of age on the WIC program.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

19-3 Overweight or obesity in children and adolescents. **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)

SIGNIFICANCE

Obesity in children is on the rise in all age groups as demonstrated from the PedNSS data and nationwide surveys such as the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys. IDPH conducts WIC programs providing nutrition education in all counties and has infrastructure to ensure provision of child health services in all counties. Through the lowa Dept. of Education, contact can be made with school nurse, athletic directors, physical education teachers, counselors, and classroom teachers. Nutrition education is promoted through the school lunch program. The Team Nutrition effort has been popular in lowa and is expanding to 30 schools. The lowa Nutrition Education Network seeks grant funds to promote nutrition education activities through social marketing campaigns and mini grants to local communities. A Child and Adolescent Obesity Task Force was formed to address the specific problem of child obesity.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of families of 1 year old children enrolled in WIC who have participated in parenting education.

STATUS: Active

**GOAL** To increase the number of parents who are participating in parenting education.

**DEFINITION**The definition of parent education that the Division of Community Health has established: "Parenting education is a planned

approach to providing parents with information that can help them promote their child's development."

Numerator

Number of 1 year of children whose parents participated in a parenting education class.

Denominator:

Number of 1 year old children on WIC program.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Focus Area- Chapter 16 - Maternal, Infants, and Child Health

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

WIC database and a survey completed by IDPH

Parent education is a broad term applied in this definition to opportunities provided for parents and potential parents of children from birth to 18 years of age in the subject areas of parenting skills and practices, child growth and development, and family interaction/communication. Families fluctuate from stages of secure and nurturing to times of problems and challenges with parenting their children. It is esstenial every community in lowa have parent education available from which families can easily access information. Providing parent education through a variety of sources and methods will give

families choices for different levels of literacy, culture, format, and focus to meet the individual family needs.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: IA

<b>HEALTH SYSTEMS C</b>	CAPACITY	MEASURE # 01
-------------------------	----------	--------------

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	53.0	53.0	53.0	48.4			
Numerator	999	999	962	875			
Denominator	188,413	188,413	181,603	180,839			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final			

## **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02**

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	90.4	91.9	92.3	99.9	99.9		
Numerator	13,003	13,855	14,649	16,495	17,565		
Denominator	14,388	15,075	15,874	16,508	17,590		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

## **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Numerator	0	11	2	3	6	
Denominator	5	11	2	3	6	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

## **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04**

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data						
2	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	72.7	69.0	77.0	75.4	76.2		
Numerator	26,870	25,899	29,024	28,757	29,096		
Denominator	36,960	37,545	37,694	38,139	38,159		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

## HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07

The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	42.0	45.7	41.8	55.0	51.9
Numerator	14,513	16,175	16,221	22,440	22,678
Denominator	34,565	35,395	38,771	40,810	43,717
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

## HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	93.0	93.0	98.4	98.7	92.4
Numerator	743	639	803	1,203	1,201
Denominator	799	687	816	1,219	1,300
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Our Iowa Title V definition of rehabilitative services includes mailing a detailed letter to each family of a child determined eligible for SSI. The letter reiterates the beneficiary's automatic eligibility for Medicaid, as well as describes additional Title V CYSHCN services that may be of use or interest. The SSI enrollees who do NOT receive a letter (i.e. are counted in the denominator, but not numerator) are directly under the supervision of the lowa Dept of Human Services or a hospital facility.

## FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2001 data were obtained from the Iowa Hospital Association data. The 2002 data will not be released until later in December of 2003.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data were obtained from the Iowa Hospital Association data. The 2004 data will not be released until later in December of 2005.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data will not be released until later in December of 2005.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 data were obtained from the Annual EPSDT Participation Report - HCFA 416 Report.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data were obtained from the Annual EPSDT Participation Report - CMS 416 Report.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data were obtained from the Annual EPSDT Participation Report - CMS 416 Report.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The small number is due to financial eligibility at 185 percent poverty level. Most infants who qualify for public health insurance qualify for Medicaid.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The small number is due to financial eligibility at 185 percent poverty level. Most infants who qualify for public health insurance qualify for Medicaid.

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The small number is due to financial eligibility at 185 percent poverty level. Most infants who qualify for public health insurance qualify for Medicaid.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for the percent of women with a live birth during 2002 whose observed to expected prental visits is greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotekchuck Index were obtained from the 2002 Provisional Vital Statisitics.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: 12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The HSCI #4 data differs from the NPM #18 because HSCI #4 uses women ages 15-44 and NPM #18 uses women of all ages.

13. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 data were obtained from the Annual EPSDT Participation Report - HFCA 416.

14. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data were obtained from the Annual EPSDT Participation Report - CMS416.

15. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data were obtained from the Annual EPSDT Participation Report - CMS 416.

16. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Our lowa Title V definition of rehabilitative services includes mailing a detailed letter to each family of a child determined eligible for SSI. The letter reiterates the

beneficiary's eligibility for Medicaid, as well as describes additional Title V CYSHCN services that may be useful or of interest.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: IA

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	V=45		POPULATION		
	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Matching data files	8.5	5.9	6.6
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Matching data files	6	5	5.3
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Matching data files	79.3	91.5	88.7
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Matching data files	65.9	78.3	75.4

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: IA

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	185_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18 ) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2004	133
c) Pregnant Women	2004	185

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: IA

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2004	200
c) Pregnant Women	2004	

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 05

Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Field Note:

Data obtained for NPM #18 uses a different data source.

Section Number: Indicator 05

Field Name: AdequateCare
Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name:
Year: 2006
Field Note:
The percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care is 2003 data.

#### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: IA

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	No
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	No

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

### FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: IA

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	No
Other: Iowa Youth Survey	2	No

### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	2	No
WIC Program Data	3	No
Other: Iowa Child and Family Household Health Survey	3	Yes
lowa Oral Health Survey (includes height/weight)	3	Yes

#### \*Where:

- 1 No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is not large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

#### Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BAN

Row Name: Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data from birth certificates and neonatal metabolic screens are matched each month. The resulting data set, called Child Discovery, is used by the Center for Congenital and Inherited Disorders (CCID) to identify infants born in lowa that did not receive the neonatal metabolic screens. Program staff in CCID contact the infants' delivering facility and physician of record to notify them of the missed screens.

2. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BirthDefects

Row Name: Annual birth defects surveillance system

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Due to IDPH organizational restructuring, the Center for Congenital and Inherited Disorders became a program component of the Bureau of Family Health (BFH) in FFY2005. This organizational change brought the lowa Registry for Congenital and Inherited Disorders under the regulation of the state genetics coordinator within BFH. This resulted in improved MCH access to the electronic surveillance system.

3. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: Other1\_09B Row Name: Other Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The 2002 lowa Youth Survey was the 10th in a series of youth surveys that have been completed every three years in lowa. Participation was sought from all public school districts and all students in grades 6, 8 and 11, as well as 14-18 year-old students in alternative programs. Participation was also sought from all non-public schools in the state. A total of 96,971 public and private school students across the state completed the IYS. Each lowa county except one was represented by at least 170 students participating in the survey.

4. Section Number: Indicator 09C

Field Name: Other1\_09C Row Name: Other Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The 2000 lowa Child and Family Household Health Survey was a collaborative effort of the University of Iowa Public Policy Center, the Iowa Department of Public Health, and Child Health Specialty Clinics. The intent of the study was to provide information for policymakers and health planners about the status of families with children in Iowa from a social health perspective. It was funded, in part, by a competitive grant from the Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Health Resources and Services Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services.

The survey utilized a telephone interview conducted with a stratified random sample of 3241 families with children in Iowa. Replication of the Iowa Child and Family Household Health Survey is scheduled for September 2005.

For the fifth consecutive year, the lowa Department of Public Health (IDPH) completed the staewide Oral Health Survey in 2005. With direction from the IDPH Oral Health Bureau, the survey was conducted by the state's Title V child health contract agencies. The Title V agencies screened a random sample of 1,701 third-grade children in 29 schools. Each screening included measurement of the child's height and weight.

# FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: IA

	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	a <u>ta</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	6.1	6.4	6.7	6.6	7.0
	2,342	2,410	2,511	2,519	2,692
Denominator		37,597	37,749	38,139	38,355
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	4.7	4.8	5.0	4.9	5.3
Numerator	1,718		1,808	1,800	1,946
Denominator	36,945	36,347	36,438	36,809	37,039
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A			Annual Indicator Da		Provisional
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A the percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da		Provisional 2004
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A the percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.				ata	2004
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator	2000	<b>2001</b> 1.2	<b>2002</b> 1.2	ata 2003	2004
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator	2000 1.3 491	<b>2001</b> 1.2	1.2 444	ata 2003	2004 1.3 506
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A  the percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 1.3 491 38,170	2001 1.2 437	1.2 444	2003 1.2 443	2004 1.3 506
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.3 491 38,170	2001 1.2 437	1.2 444	2003 1.2 443 38,139	2004 1.3 506 38,355
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A the percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.3 491 38,170	2001 1.2 437	1.2 444	2003 1.2 443 38,139	2004 1.3 506 38,355
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A  he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.3 491 38,170	2001 1.2 437	1.2 444	2003 	2004 1.3 506 38,355
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.3 491 38,170	2001 1.2 437	1.2 444 37,749	2003 	2004 1.3 506 38,355
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.3 491 38,170	2001 1.2 437 37,597	1.2 444 37,749	2003 	2004 1.3 506 38,355 Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator	2000 1.3 491 38,170	2001 1.2 437 37,597 2001	1.2 444 37,749 Annual Indicator Da 2002	2003  1.2  443  38,139  Final	2004 1.3 506 38,355 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

Annual Indicator 7.8 6.2 8.5 5.8  Numerator 47 37 51 33  Denominator 601,563 601,563 601,563 572,000 55  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B The death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.	Annual Indicator   7.8   6.2   8.5   5.8   11.1				Annual Indicator Da		
Numerator   47   37   51   33	Numerator Denominator Denominator Denominator Denominator Denominator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?         47         37         51         33         63           Is the Data Provisional or Final?         Final         Provisional           TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B ath rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.         Annual Indicator Data           2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator Mumerator         3.3         3.5         4.3         4.5         6.7           Numerator Denominator Denominator Denominator 601,563         601,563         601,563         601,563         572,000         569,387           Is the Data Provisional or Final?         Final Provisional         Provisional           TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C           TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C           ath rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.         Annual Indicator Data           2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator Data Data Data Data Data Data Data Dat	August Indiana	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Denominator   601,563   601,563   601,563   572,000   Example	Denominator   601,563   601,563   601,563   572,000   569,387						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B the death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Sample   Annual Indicator Data	State   Data   Provisional or Final?   Provisional						
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B the death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator Data   2000   2001   2002   2003   2004	TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B ath rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Numerator   2000   2001   2002   2003   2004			601,563	601,363		
Le death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes.    Annual Indicator Data	Annual Indicator 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004  Annual Indicator 3.3 3.5 4.3 4.5 6.  Numerator 601,563 601,563 601,563 572,000 569,38  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ath rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.    Annual Indicator Data 200 201 2003 2003 2004 2003 2004 2003 2004 2003 2004 2004						
Annual Indicator   3.3   3.5   4.3   4.5	Annual Indicator   3.3   3.5   4.3   4.5   6.3     Numerator   20   21   26   26   36     Denominator   601,563   601,563   601,563   601,563   572,000   569,383     Is the Data Provisional or Final?   Final   Provisional     TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C     ath rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.     Final   Provisional     Fina		aged 14 years and y	ounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
Annual Indicator 3.3 3.5 4.3 4.5  Numerator 20 21 26 26  Denominator 601,563 601,563 601,563 572,000 5  Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Provisional or Final	Annual Indicator 3.3 3.5 4.3 4.5 6.5 Numerator 20 21 26 26 26 33 572,000 569,38				Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
Numerator 20 21 26 26  Denominator 601,563 601,563 601,563 572,000 58  Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Pro	Numerator         20         21         26         26         3           Denominator         601,563         601,563         601,563         572,000         569,38           Is the Data Provisional or Final?         Final         Provisional           TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C           ath rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.           Annual Indicator Data           2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator         28.1         23.0         22.1         26.5         21.           Numerator         121         99         95         117         9           Denominator         430,083         430,083         430,083         441,000         440,97						
Denominator 601,563 601,563 601,563 572,000 50 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	Denominator   601,563   601,563   601,563   572,000   569,38	Annual Indicator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Final Provisional or Final Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.  Annual Indicator Data  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	Is the Data Provisional or Final?         Final         Provisional           TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C           Annual Indicator vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.           Ennual Indicator Data           2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator         28.1         23.0         22.1         26.5         21.4           Numerator         121         99         95         117         90           Denominator         430,083         430,083         430,083         441,000         440,97	Numerator		-			
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.  Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	TH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ath rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.    State   State		601,563	601,563	601,563	572,000	569,38
he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.  Annual Indicator Data  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	Annual Indicator 28.1 23.0 22.1 26.5 21.8  Numerator 121 99 95 117 96  Denominator 430,083 430,083 430,083 430,083 441,000 440,974					E' I	B
Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	Annual Indicator Data           2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator         28.1         23.0         22.1         26.5         21.6           Numerator         121         99         95         117         96           Denominator         430,083         430,083         430,083         441,000         440,974	Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator         28.1         23.0         22.1         26.5         21.8           Numerator         121         99         95         117         96           Denominator         430,083         430,083         430,083         441,000         440,974					Final	Provisional
	Annual Indicator         28.1         23.0         22.1         26.5         21.6           Numerator         121         99         95         117         96           Denominator         430,083         430,083         430,083         441,000         440,974	EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C		ng youth aged 15 thro	ough 24 years.	Final	Provisional
Allitudi illulicator 20.1 20.0 22.1 20.0	Numerator         121         99         95         117         96           Denominator         430,083         430,083         430,083         441,000         440,974	EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C	ehicle crashes amor		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
Numerator 121 99 95 117	Denominator         430,083         430,083         430,083         441,000         440,970	EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	ehicle crashes amor	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003	2004
		EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	2000 28.1	2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	ata 2003 26.5	2004
		EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 28.1	23.0	Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 95	2003 26.5	<b>2004</b> 21.
		HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version and the death rate per 100,000 from unintention and the death rate per 100,000 from uninten	2000 28.1 121 430,083	23.0	Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 95	2003 26.5 117 441,000	2004 21. 9 440,97
······································	O Iv II	Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 28.1 121 430,083	23.0	Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 95	2003 26.5 117 441,000	<b>2004</b> 21.
		EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 28.1 121 430,083	23.0	Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 95	2003 26.5 117 441,000	2004 21. 9 440,97
ne rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years and younger.	e per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years and younger.	EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 28.1 121 430,083	23.0	Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 95 430,083	2003 26.5 117 441,000 Final	2004 21. 9 440,97
he rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years and younger.  Annual Indicator Data	te per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years and younger.  Annual Indicator Data	LEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  28.1  121  430,083  rears and younger.	2001 23.0 99 430,083	Annual Indicator Day 2002  22.1  95  430,083  Annual Indicator Day	2003  26.5  117  441,000  Final	2004 21. 90 440,97 Provisional
The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years and younger.  Annual Indicator Data  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	te per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years and younger.  Annual Indicator Data  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor versional Indicator  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y	2000 28.1 121 430,083 rears and younger.	2001 23.0 99 430,083	Annual Indicator Day 2002  22.1  95  430,083  Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003  26.5  117  441,000  Final	2004 21.3 99 440,974 Provisional
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	te per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years and younger.    Annual Indicator Data   2000   2001   2002   2003   2004	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor versional Indicator  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y  Annual Indicator	2000 28.1 121 430,083 rears and younger.	2001 23.0 99 430,083 2001 338.6	Annual Indicator Day 2002  22.1  95  430,083  Annual Indicator Day 2002  286.6	2003 26.5 117 441,000 Final	2004 21. 99 440,97 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	ed 14 years and your	nger.  Annual Indicator Da	nt n	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	608.7	566.0	291.1	309.3	324.0
Numerator	3,662	3,405	1,751	1,761	1,845
Denominator	601,563	601,563	601,563	569,387	569,387
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	2,729.2	2,681.8	1,801.0	1,318.6	1,283.
Numerator	11,738	11,534	7,942	7,508	7,308
Denominator	430,083	430,083	440,974	569,387	569,38
Ja Alia Data Dazzilalanak Elizak					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da		Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported o	2000	2001	2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported o	<b>2000</b> 17.3	10.6	<b>2002</b> 16.5	ata 2003 17.9	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 17.3 1,912	10.6 1,176	16.5 1,827	ata 2003 17.9 1,865	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the control of the	<b>2000</b> 17.3	10.6 1,176	16.5 1,827	ata 2003 17.9 1,865 104,394	2004 20.6 2,06 103,272
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 17.3 1,912	10.6 1,176	16.5 1,827	ata 2003 17.9 1,865	2004 20.06
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	17.3 1,912 110,500	10.6 1,176	16.5 1,827 110,644	ata 2003 17.9 1,865 104,394 Final	2004 20.0 2,06 103,272
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	17.3 1,912 110,500	10.6 1,176	16.5 1,827	ata 2003 17.9 1,865 104,394 Final	2004 20.0 2,06 103,272
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 17.3 1,912 110,500 case of chlamydia.	10.6 1,176 110,644	16.5 1,827 110,644 Annual Indicator Da	17.9 1,865 104,394 Final	2004 2,06 103,272 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the content of the co	2000 17.3 1,912 110,500 case of chlamydia. 2000 5.0	10.6 1,176 110,644	16.5 1,827 110,644 Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003  17.9  1,865  104,394  Final	2004 2,066 103,272 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The data for this HSI were obtained from the Hospital Discharge Data. The 2003 data will be available in September 2005.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 hospital discharge data is not available for non-fatal injuries. The data will be available by the fall of 2005.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 hospital discharge data is not available for non-fatal injuries.

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The data for this HSI were obtained from the DOT data system. The DOT is currently in the process of changing the data system. The 2002 data are not available until next year.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The DOT is currently in the process of changing the data system. The 2002 and 2003 data are not available until December 2004.

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data for this HSI were obtained from the DOT data system. The DOT is currently in the process of changing the data system. The 2004 Census data for children 14 years and younger was not available. The 2004 denominator is the 2003 Census data.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The data for this HSI were obtained from the DOT data system. The DOT is currently in the process of changing the data system. The 2002 data are not available until next year.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The DOT is currently in the process of changing the data system. The 2002 and 2003 data are not available until December 2004.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data for this HSI were obtained from the DOT data system. The DOT is currently in the process of changing the data system. The 2004 Census data for children 15 to 24 years was not available. The 2004 denominator is the 2003 Census data.

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: IA

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	36,820	33,847	1,093	186	632	20_	1,042	0
Children 1 through 4	144,783	132,961	4,468	691	2,655	66	3,942	0
Children 5 through 9	185,348	170,091	6,474	911	3,298	96	4,478	0
Children 10 through 14	202,436	188,325	6,768	1,004	2,945	71	3,323	0
Children 15 through 19	211,983	199,017	6,084	968	3,345	105	2,464	0
Children 20 through 24	228,991	213,622	6,576	1,038	5,192	236	2,327	0
Children 0 through 24	1,010,361	937,863	31,463	4,798	18,067	594	17,576	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	34,637	2,183	0
Children 1 through 4	135,935	8,848	0
Children 5 through 9	174,945	10,403	0
Children 10 through 14	193,732	8,704	0
Children 15 through 19	204,378	7,605	0
Children 20 through 24	219,070	9,921	0
Children 0 through 24	962,697	47,664	0

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: IA

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	25	20	3	1	0	0	0	1
Women 15 through 17	895	760	101	14	12	0	0	8
Women 18 through 19	2,340	2,087	182	17	33	1	0	20
Women 20 through 34	30,840	28,620	1,103	192	766	4	0	155
Women 35 or older	4,255	3,985	72	15	159	2	0	22
Women of all ages	38,355	35,472	1,461	239	970	7	0	206

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	21	4	0
Women 15 through 17	764	126	5
Women 18 through 19	2,040	282	18
Women 20 through 34	28,582	2,180	78
Women 35 or older	4,003	240	12
Women of all ages	35,410	2,832	113

### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: IA

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics) 

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	216	184	25	0	2	0	0	5
Children 1 through 4	40	36	1	0	3	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	30	27	2	0	0	0	0	1
Children 10 through 14	47	44	0	0	1	0	0	2
Children 15 through 19	119	111	4	0	2	0	0	2
Children 20 through 24	163	146	7	0	1	0	0	9
Children 0 through 24	615	548	39	0	9	0	0	19

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	199	17	0
Children 1 through 4	35	5	0
Children 5 through 9	29	1	0
Children 10 through 14	44	2	0
Children 15 through 19	119	2	0
Children 20 through 24	149	9	0
Children 0 through 24	575	36	0

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: IA

**HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	781,370	724,241.0	24,887.0	3,760.0	12,875.0	358.0	15,249.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	28.2	28.1	43.1	0	28.6	0	17.9	13.7	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	3.8	3.5	20.6	9.2	1.8	0	0	2.8	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	155,881	102,574.0	16,478.0	1,123.0	1,598.0	0	0	34,108.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	18,722	15,102.0	464.0	93.0	169.0	11.0	0	2,883.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	4,673	3,664.0	623.0	95.0	44.0	15.0	133.0	99.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	87,155	54,923.0	12,229.0	665.0	758.0	0	0	18,580.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	59,396	51,778.0	5,819.0	449.0	1,350.0	0	0	0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	2,754.0	2,472.0	12,452.0	5,452.0	1,553.0	0	0	724.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	2.4	2.1	5.0	7.6	1.7	0	0	0	2004

**HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	743,627.0	37,743.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	28.3	11.4	0	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	3.6	6.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	155,881.0	12,928.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	18,722.0	956.0	0	2004
Number living in foster home care	4,260.0	242.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	87,155.0	6,685.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	59,396.0	11,170.0	0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	2,686.0	3,226.0	2.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	2.4	5.9	0	2004

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: IA

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL
Living in metropolitan areas	330,192
Living in urban areas	242,767
Living in rural areas	325,650
Living in frontier areas	200,167
Total - all children 0 through 19	768,584

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

### FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: IA

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Total Population	2,954,451.0	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	4.2	
100% of poverty	8.9	
200% of poverty	25.9	

### FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: IA

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	768,298.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	6.8
100% of poverty	13.4
200% of poverty	30.4

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race data is 2003 data. We are unable to get 2004 provisional data.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data are obtained from 2003 Census.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_TANFPercent
 Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are obtained from the Feb. 2005 report

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_SCHIPNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are obtained from the May 2005 hawk-i report

Section Number: Indicator 09B
 Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_TANFPercent
 Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are obtained from the February 2005 report.

i. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are obtained from the May 2005 hawk-i report

6. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_FoodStampNo
Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name:

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are obtained from February 2005 report

7. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Metropolitan

Row Name: Living in metropolitan areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for metropolitan living were obtained from 2003 Census data.

8. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Urban

Row Name: Living in urban areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for urban living were obtained from 2003 Census data.

9. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Rural

Row Name: Living in rural areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for urban living were obtained from 2003 Census data.

10. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Frontier

Row Name: Living in frontier areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for frontier living were obtained from 2003 Census data.

11. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_total Row Name: Total Population

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for total population were obtained from 2004 Census data.

**12. Section Number:** Indicator 11 **Field Name:** S11\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for 50% of poverty were obtained from 2003 Census data.

13. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for 100% of poverty were obtained from 2003 Census data.

14. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for 200% of poverty were obtained from 2003 Census data.

15. Section Number: Indicator 12
Field Name: S12 Children

Field Name: S12\_Children
Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for children 0-19 years were obtained from 2004 Census data.

**16. Section Number:** Indicator 12 **Field Name:** S12\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for 50% of poverty were obtained from 2003 Census data.

 Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for 100% of poverty were obtained from 2004 Census data.

18. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Demographic data for 200% of poverty were obtained from 2004 Census data.

Section Number: Indicator 09B
 Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_FosterCare
 Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are obtained from April 2005 report.

### NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: IA

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Community Empowerment Areas funded family support programs identified as evidence-based practices.

GOAL To increase the percent of Community Empowerment Areas that fund evidenced-based family support and parent

education programs.

**DEFINITION** lowa is currently working on developing evidenced based criteria for Community Empowerment Areas.

Numerator:

Number of Community Empowerment funded family support programs funding research based programs.

Denominator:

Number of Community Empowerment Areas in Iowa.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 7-7 Increase the proportion of health care organizations that provide patient and family education.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

**OBJECTIVE** 

Community Empowerment Data Report - Home Visitation

Research has shown that high quality family support programs enable and empower families by enhancing and promoting individual and family capacities that support and strengthen family functioning. Family support programs and services

strengthen adults in their roles as parents, nurturers, and providers.

Page 88 of 98

2

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Number of early care and education providers who receive child care nurse consultant training or services.

Improve the quality of health and safety in early care and education by increasing the number of early care and education GOAL

providers receiving child care nurse consultant services.

DEFINITION Through the Healthy Child Care lowa Campaign, child care nures consultant offer training and technical assistance to early learning providers.

Numerator:

Number of early care and education providers who receive a service from a child care nurse consultant

Denominator:

Number of early care and education providers in Iowa.

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

none

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Healthy Child Care Iowa Encounter Data Child Care Resource and Referral Data

Early care and education providers are responsible for the well-being of children enrolled in their facility. The health and safety of children enrolled is a prime concern. Early care and education providers need accessible health care professionals as partners to improve the health and safety components of their business. Child care nurse consultants delivering direct services (on-site consultation, face-to-face services and training) to early care and education providers help providers improve the health and safety components.

**OBJECTIVE** 

SIGNIFICANCE

				2010
1200	1224	1248	1273	1299

Page 89 of 98

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of Medicaid enrolled children 0-3 years who receive developmental evaluations.

Assure developmental evaluations are provided to Medicaid enrolled children 0-3 years. GOAL

A development evaluation is periodic reviews of a child's development as an integrated part of a well-child examination to **DEFINITION** 

include a review of developmental milestones, behavior, family risk factors, and parent concerns.

Numerator:

The number of developmental evaluations provided to Medicaid enrolled children 0-3 during the reporting year.

Denominator:

The total number of Medicaid enrolled children 0-3 during the reporting year.

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 18-7 Treatment for children with mental health problems.

Increase the proportion of children with mental health problems who receive treatment.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

HCFA 4.16 Report Medicaid claims data: Fee for Service and Encounter data.

Behavioral, mental health, and social-emotional problems in children have gained increasing attention and priority in the national and state public health systems in the last several years. Recent studies indicate that 12 percent to 16 percent of children experience developmental problems, but that only one-third of those children are identified in pediatric practices prior to school entry. Using state and local collaborative relationships, lowa's Title V program has the opportunity to foster the development of a seamless and comprehensive system of screening, assessment, and referral services.

**OBJECTIVE** 

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
5	7	10	12	15

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children who needed care from a specialist who received the care without problem.

GOAL Assure access to pediatric specialty care for all children.

**DEFINITION**The percentage value will be obtained by dividing the numerator (defined below) by the denominator (defined below) and

then multiplying the quotient by 100.

Numerator:

Number of children who needed specialized care and received it without problem.

Denominator:

Number of children who needed specialized care.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 16-22 - Increase the proportion of CYSHCN who have access to a medical home.

16-23 - Increase the proportion of Territories and States that have service systems for CYSHCN.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data Source: lowa Child and Family Household Health Survey conducted by the lowa Department of Public Health, Child

Health Specialty Clinics, and University of Iowa Public Policy Center. Data Issues: The data for this performance measure is based on parent report of "need" and "problem" meeting the need. There are no descriptors offered to parent survey respondents to help standardize the concepts of "need" or "problem." That the survey uses a population-based, random sample design strengthens the assumption that the responses are a valid, unbiased representation of family experience.

Specialty care is one essential component of a comprehensive system of care for all children. Concepts of systems, medical home, and collaborative partnership manifest prominently in discussions of quality improvement and cost-effectiveness. With estimates ranging as high as 30 percent of all children having a need at some time for specialty care, access to specialists is naturally a relevant concern. Geographical inaccessibility and higher cost of specialty care remain formidable

problems.

OBJECTIVE

| 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |

92

96

99

83 87

Page 91 of 98

SIGNIFICANCE

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of children 0-3 years served by Early ACCESS (IDEA, Part C).

Minimize developmental delay through early intervention services for children 0-3 years. GOAL

**DEFINITION** Early ACCESS serves children 0-3 years with a development delay of 25% or greater or a risk of development delays.

Number of children 0-3 years served by Part C - Early ACCESS.

Denominator:

Number of children 0-3 years.

Units: Text: 0

16-14 Reduce the occurrence of developmental disabilities. **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** Early ACCESS data - OSEP -- OSEP recommends that EA serve 2% of children 0-3 years of age and 1% of children 0-1. in

2004, EA served 1.11% of 0-1 year olds and 2.07% of 0-3 year olds. A future indicator will be the success at which premature infants and children with other qualifying health conditions are served by Early ACCESS. EA data cannot

currently differentiate the condition for which the child was enrolled, but that may be a possibility in the future.

CHSC and the IDPH continue close collaboration with Early ACCESS to improve the early intervention system for children 0-3. Research has shown that for children with or at-risk for developmental delay, the earlier that intervention can be

provided, the greater chance for the child's improved outcomes. By providing early intervention services to the child and family at the earliest possible time, potential later costs to society can be reduced.

**OBJECTIVE** 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Number of lowa counties that have at least one participating targeted community in the CDC nutrition and physical activity **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

obesity prevention project.

Improve physical fitness of children and adolescents by achieving the following: 1. Seventy-five percent of lowa children and GOAL adolescents in targeted communities will be physically active for 30 minutes daily and moderately active for 60 minutes daily

by January 2010. 2. Seventy-five percent of lowa children and adolescents in targeted communities will limit screen time to no more than two hours daily by January 2010. 3. Seventy-five percent of lowa children and adolescents in targeted

DEFINITION

Number of Iowa Counties participating in the CDC nutrition and physical activity obesity prevention project.

Denominator:

Number of Iowa Counties.

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 22-6 Increase the proportion of adolescents who engage in moderate physical activity for 30 minutes.

22-18 Increase the proportion of adolescents who view tv two or fewer hours on a school day.

The data source will be the evaluation component of the CDC nutrition and physical activity obesity prevention grant. This **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

information will be collected in the targeted communities.

According to the "2002 CDC Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System," 30 percent of low-income children aged 2-5 years in SIGNIFICANCE lowa are overweight or at risk of becoming overweight and 61 percent of lowa adults are overweight or obese. In lowa, the

obesity rate in adults has increased by 70 percent from 1990 to 2002.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 12 18 24 36

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Medicaid enrolled children ages 9-35 months receiving a blood lead test.

GOAL Increase the percent of Medicaid enrolled children age 9 – 35 months receiving a blood lead test.

**DEFINITION**The measure of children receiving a blood lead test is identified as a proxy measure for the quality of primary care provide

for children.

Numerator:

Number of Medicaid enrolled children ages 9-35 months who have received a blood lead test.

Denominator:

Number of Medicaid enrolled children ages 9-35 months.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

8-11: Eliminate elevated blood lead levels in children.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

STELLAR (Systematic Tracking of Elevated Lead Levels and Remediation) and Medicaid data match conducted by the IDPH Bureau of Lead Poisoning Prevention - Data on blood lead screening is based upon birth cohorts for children age 9—35 mo. of age. A birth cohort includes children who were born in a given calendar year. The indicator demonstrates the percent of children in this age range who were on Medicaid at some time and received a blood lead test. Children enrolled in Medicaid include those enrolled for at least one month. A child in a given birth cohort must be tested at the age of 9 to 35 months to be counted. The Medicaid population is most vulnerable because the prevalence of lead poisoning in Medicaid children is 2.5 times the prevalence of lead poisoning in non-Medicaid children. Federal and lowa law require Medicaid children to be tested, and these children have a source of payment for the test.

SIGNIFICANCE

Comprehensive health screening services for children include a blood lead test according to a plan developed by IDPH. This plan is consistent with guidance from the AAP, the AAFP, and the CDC. Iowa's screening plan states that all children should be tested for lead at age 12 and 24 mos., and high risk children should be tested at ages 18 mo., 3, 4, and 5 yrs. Iowa law requires that Medicaid children be tested at these ages. The Bureau of Lead Poisoning Prevention assesses rates for children 9-35 mos. of age. Childhood lead poisoning has major effects on the health of children and on community health. Lead has adverse effects on nearly all organ systems, especially on the developing brain and nervous system. At blood lead levels as low as 10 mcg/dl, children's intelligence, hearing, and growth are affected. In a community, the presence of lead-poisoned children can be linked with an increase in the number of children with developmental delays. The presence of lead-poisoned children requires substantial community public health resources for medical and environmental case management services. Most of Iowa's pre-1950 homes contain lead-based paint. Young children who live in pre-1950 homes become lead poisoned when they ingest paint chips, house dust, or exterior soil. Most lead-poisoned children show no visible symptoms, magnifying the importance of having a program to prevent childhood lead poisoning

**OBJECTIVE** 

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
57	62	67	72	77

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Medicaid enrolled children ages 1-5 years who receive dental services.

GOAL Assure access to oral health care for low-income children in Iowa.

**DEFINITION** Children ages 1-5 years old who are enrolled in Medicaid, will have access to dental services.

Numerator

Number of Medicaid enrolled children 1-5 years who receive a dental service.

Denominator:

Number of Medicaid enrolled children 1-5 years.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 21-1 Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who have dental caries experience in their pr

21-2 Reduce the proportion of children, adolescents, and adults with untreated dental decay.

21-10 Increase the proportion of children and adults who use the oral health care system each year.

21-12 Increase the proportion of low-income children and adolescents who received any preventive dental service during

the past year.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

HCFA 4.16 Report

Access to oral health care for children was rated within the top ten priorities of the 2005 lowa Department of Public Health Maternal and Child Health Needs Assessment. Access to dental care for low-income families is limited due to a number of barriers. These include: lack of financial resources to pay for care, lack of knowledge of importance of good oral health, lack of dentists willing to see children under the age of three, shortage of dentists participating in the Medicaid program, shortage

of dentists within the state, and issues of patient compliance.

**OBJECTIVE** 

 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009
 2010

 35
 36
 37
 38
 39

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Rate (per 1,000 births) of infant deaths due to prematurity.

GOAL Reduce the rate of infant deaths due to prematurity

**DEFINITION** Prematurity is defined as delivery before 37 weeks gestational and/or infant weighing 1,000-2,499 grams.

Number of infant deaths of pre-term infants.

**Denominator:** Number of infants (per 1,000) in Iowa.

Units: Text: 0

16-1 Reduce fetal and infant deaths. **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

16-11 Reduce preterm births.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Vital Statistics Data

SIGNIFICANCE

No State can afford not to address infant mortality. Nationally the infant mortality rates have climbed from 6.7/1000 to 7.0/1000. Provisional data for calendar year 2004 point to a potential decrease in the lowa rate of infant mortality per 1,000 births, from 5.7 in 2003 to 5.0 in 2004.

**OBJECTIVE** 

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 3.5 3.5 3.4 3.4

Number of professionals trained on the use of appropriate maternal depression screening tools and the available referral **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

resources.

Increase the number of professionals trained on use of appropriate maternal depression screening tools. GOAL

**DEFINITION** Assure pregnant and parenting women are screened and referred to appropriate mental health services.

Numerator:

Number of professionals trained on maternal depression screening tools.

Denominator:

Number of professionals trained

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Reduce maternal illness and complications due to pregnancy.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

University of Iowa Center for Depression and Clinical Research and Iowa Department of Public Health Provider Survey.

Depression is considered an underreported problem. Women report a reluctance to discuss their emotions during the perinatal period due to the perceived stigma associated with it. Many women do not realize that they are suffering from a treatable condition and are often left to deal with the problem on their own. Without appropriate treatment, perinatal depression can dramatically affect women and their families. Data from prenatal care surveys indicate the extent of the problem in Iowa. Over 15 percent of postpartum women completing a survey on their second postpartum day report feeling sad or miserable in the two weeks prior to completing the survey. Health care providers in Iowa indicate that they understand the importance of early screening and identification of perinatal depression. However, they are reluctant to screen and identify clients who may be at-risk for depression, because of the providers' lack of awareness of available resources for client interventions. The lowa Department of Public Health and the University of lowa Center for Depression and Clinical Research will be collecting data in the next year to determine the baseline.

**OBJECTIVE** 

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
300	600	900	1200	1500